

# STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. DE 19-064

Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Distribution Service Rate Case

# **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

OF

# **MELISSA F. BARTOS**

April 30, 2019

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	IN	TRO	DUCTION1
II.	SC	OPE	OF TESTIMONY1
III.	M	ARG	INAL COST STUDY2
	A.	Ecor	nomic Theory and Marginal Costs
	B.	Mar	ginal Cost Study Methodology 4
		1.	Overview
	C.	Mar	ginal Cost Study Results 8
		1.	Overview
		2.	Marginal Distribution Capacity-related Plant Addition Costs
		3.	Marginal Customer-related Plant Addition Costs
		4.	Marginal Outdoor Lighting Costs
		5.	Marginal Distribution Capacity-related Operations and Maintenance Expense 11
		6.	Marginal Customer-related Operations and Maintenance Expense
		7.	Marginal Customer Accounting Expenses 12
		8.	Marginal Loading Factors and Adjustment Factors
		9.	Fixed Carrying Charge Rate
	D.	Sum	mary of Marginal Cost Study Results15

# ATTACHMENTS

Attachment	Page	Title
MFB-1	1	Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-related Costs: Primary System
MFB-1	2	Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-related Costs: Secondary System
MFB-1	3	Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-related Costs: Line Transformers
MFB-2	1	Services and Meters Investment
MFB-3	1–3	Summary of Marginal Costs for Outdoor Lighting: Luminaires
MFB-3	4-5	Summary of Marginal Costs for Outdoor Lighting: Poles and Accessories
MFB-4	1	Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense - Primary System
MFB-4	2	Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense - Secondary System
MFB-4	3	Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense - Line Transformers
MFB-4	4	Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense - Primary System
MFB-4	5	Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense - Secondary System
MFB-4	6	Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense - Line Transformers
MFB-5	1	Development of Customer-Related Plant Expense
MFB-5	2	Class Weighted Customer Plant Related Expense
MFB-5	3	Development of Customer Accounting Expense
MFB-5	4	Class Weighted Customer Accounting Expense
MFB-5	5	Class Weighted Bad Debt Accounts Expense
MFB-6	1	Development of A & G Loading Factors
MFB-6	2	Development of Materials and Supplies Loading Factor
MFB-6	3	Development of General Plant Loading Factor
MFB-7	1	Summary of Levelized Fixed Charge Rates
MFB-7	2	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Input Data
MFB-7	3	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Primary and Secondary Capacity Related
		Distribution
MFB-7	4	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Line Transformers Investment
MFB-7	5	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Services Investment
MFB-7	6	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Metering Equipment
MFB-7	7	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Street Lighting Investment
MFB-7	8-12	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Primary and Secondary Capacity Related
		Distribution, Line Transformers Investment, Services Investment, Metering
		Equipment, Street Lighting Investment
MFB-7	13	Development of Weighted Plant Book Lives and Salvage
MFB-8	1	Summary of Marginal Capacity Costs
MFB-8	2	Calculation of Loss-Adjusted Marginal Costs
MFB-8	3	Calculation of Marginal Capacity Costs by Rate Class
MFB-9	1	Summary of Marginal Customer Costs
MFB-10	1	Summary of Marginal Cost Estimates
MFB-11	1-5	Resume of Melissa F. Bartos

# TABLES

Table	Title
Table 1	Total Marginal Costs by Rate Class
Table 2	Summary of Marginal Cost Study Schedules
Table 3	Marginal Cost of Distribution Capacity-related Plant Additions
Table 4	Marginal Cost of Customer-Related Plant Additions

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# 1 I. INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	Please state your name, address, employer, position, and professional qualifications.
3	A.	My name is Melissa F. Bartos. I am an Assistant Vice President with Concentric Energy
4		Advisors, 293 Boston Post Road West, Suite 500, Marlborough, Massachusetts. My
5		professional qualifications and experience have been provided in Attachment MFB-11.
6	II.	SCOPE OF TESTIMONY
7	Q.	What is your responsibility in this proceeding?
8	A.	In this proceeding I am responsible for preparing the Marginal Cost Study for Liberty
9		Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities ("Granite State" or "the
10		Company").
11	Q.	Please summarize your testimony concerning the Marginal Cost Study.
12	A.	I have prepared a Marginal Cost Study ("MCS"), which is contained in Attachments
13		MFB-1 through MFB-10. The marginal costs that I have calculated are derived from data
14		and special studies obtained from the Company.
15		As also shown on Attachment MFB-10, the estimated annual marginal distribution costs

by rate class are summarized in Table 1 below.

1/
----

# Table 1: Total Marginal Costs by Rate Class (\$000)

	D	D-10	G-1	G-2	G-3	M	Т	V	Total
Customer	\$ 13,596	\$ 209	\$ 145	\$ 674	\$ 3,215	\$ -	\$ 397	\$8	\$ 18,246
Capacity	\$ 8,385	\$114	\$ 8,180	\$ 4,663	\$ 2,954	\$ -	\$ 281	\$ 10	\$ 24,588
Lighting	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 609	-	-	\$ 609
Total	\$ 21,981	\$ 323	\$ 8,326	\$ 5,338	\$ 6,169	\$ 609	\$ 679	\$18	\$ 43,443
	50.60%	0.74%	19.16%	12.29%	14.20%	1.40%	1.56%	0.04%	100.00%

Docket No. 19-064 Exhibit 13 Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Docket No. DE 19-064 Marginal Cost Testimony of Melissa F. Bartos Page 2 of 16

1 **III.** <u>N</u>

MARGINAL COST STUDY

A. Economic Theory and Marginal Costs 2 0. Please provide an economist's view of marginal cost. 3 "Marginal Cost" is an economic concept; it is a measure of the additional cost that a firm 4 A. incurs to provide an additional unit of a good or a service. A well-established principle 5 of economic theory is that the price of a good that is sold in a perfectly competitive 6 7 market will be set at the marginal cost to produce that good. It is a further wellestablished principle of economic theory that the best allocation of resources will occur, 8 and the best consumption decisions will be made, in an economy in which the prices of 9 goods are set at marginal costs. 10 It has been the Commission's rate-design policy and precedent since the mid-1980s to 11 12 apply the concepts of marginal cost pricing in a rate case (a) to determine the share of total rate case revenue requirement for which each rate class is responsible, and (b) to set 13 base distribution rates to promote appropriate price signals and, therefore, proper energy 14 15 consumption decisions. The basis for the Company's current allocation of revenue 16 requirement to classes, rate design, and current rate classifications was approved by the 17 Commission in Order No. 26,005 (April 12, 2017) in the Company's 2016 rate case 18 filing, Docket No. DE 16-383.

1	Q.	Although the allocation methodology was approved in that proceeding, did the
2		Commission Staff ("Staff") express any concerns with the methodology?
3	A.	Yes. In that proceeding, Staff questioned the extent to which the Company's marginal
4		cost study relied on three year historical average costs rather than the results of regression
5		analyses.
6	Q.	Did the Company commit in the Settlement Agreement in DE 16-383 to meet with
7		Staff and the Office of the Consumer Advocate ("OCA") to discuss the marginal
8		cost study methodology before Liberty's next rate case?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Are you aware if such a meeting took place and, if so, did you participate in the
11		meeting?
12	A.	Yes. A teleconference was held on January 30, 2019, in which I participated along with
13		representatives of the Company, Staff, and the OCA. During that meeting, Staff's
14		concerns related to the marginal cost study filed in DE 16-383 were reviewed.
15	Q.	Have you addressed those concerns in this current marginal cost study?
16	A.	Yes. While the marginal cost study filed in DE 16-383 used three year historical average
17		costs for 11 out of 14 cost categories because the results of the regression analyses were
18		not considered to be reasonable, in this marginal cost study regression analyses were used
19		for all 14 cost categories, as described in more detail below.

Docket No. 19-064 Exhibit 13 Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Docket No. DE 19-064 Marginal Cost Testimony of Melissa F. Bartos Page 4 of 16

1

2

#### **B.** Marginal Cost Study Methodology

1. Overview

# **Q.** Please describe the components of the Company's marginal costs that you

4 estimated.

I prepared calculations and analyses to estimate the marginal Distribution Function-5 A. related costs that the Company would incur to serve (a) additional demand when the 6 Company is experiencing peak conditions, and (b) additional customers. In general 7 terms, to estimate the costs that the Company would incur to serve additional peak 8 demand, I calculated (1) the additional capacity-related distribution plant costs, and (2) 9 the additional Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") costs that would be caused by an 10 increment to peak demand. I also calculated (3) the additional general plant-related costs 11 associated with the additions to capacity-related distribution plant, (4) the additional 12 Administrative and General ("A&G") expenses associated with the additional O&M 13 expenses, and (5) the additional materials and supplies ("M&S") and prepayment costs 14 associated with the additional plant. Lastly, I calculated additional factors to account for 15 the effects of bad debt and working capital on the calculated marginal costs. 16

# Please describe the data used to develop your estimates of the Company's marginal costs.

A. The Company provided Concentric with (a) distribution plant and general plant balances
 and (b) distribution, customer, customer accounting, A&G, and Materials and Supplies
 and Prepayments Expenses, for the period 1997 to the present. In addition, the Company

provided Concentric with historical system peak, normalized peak, and customer count
 data for the years 2000 to the present.<sup>1</sup>

Q. Please describe each new data series that you created using data that the Company
provided.

5 A. I created the following types of new data series:

6	1.	I adjusted the Company's data using an appropriate price index. I used a Handy-
7		Whitman index to restate plant additions in 2018 constant dollars, and I used the
8		Implicit Price Deflator for Gross Domestic Product, published by Bureau of
9		Economic Analysis, to restate expenses in constant 2018 dollars.
10	2.	The Company provided two separate analyses that were used to (1) identify the
11		amount of the capacity-related distribution plant additions related to growth, and
12		(2) classify the growth-related plant additions as being related to either the
13		primary distribution system, secondary distribution system, or line transformers.
14	3.	The Company provided an analysis of expense accounts that was used to
15		functionalize distribution Operations expenses and Maintenance expenses as
16		either capacity-related or customer-related, and also to classify the capacity-
17		related expenses as being related to either the primary distribution system,
18		secondary distribution system, or line transformers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The historical data for a few of the data series was obtained from SNL Financial, which compiles historical FERC Form 1 data.

1	Q.	Please describe the primary types of analysis that you used to calculate the
2		components of marginal cost.
3	A.	For many of the marginal cost components, I used a statistical process for estimating the
4		relationship between a specific "Cost Variable" (i.e., measure of costs) <sup>2</sup> and a specific
5		"Cost Driver" variable. <sup>3</sup> The general form of the regression equations that I estimated is
6		as follows <sup>4</sup> :
7		Cost Variable = a + b x Cost Driver variable
8		Regression analyses are often used to estimate components of marginal costs because the
9		regression coefficient, the term "b" in the equation above, sometimes referred to as the
10		slope of the equation, is the estimated marginal cost of the Cost Variable that is
11		associated with a small change in the Cost Driver variable. <sup>5</sup>
12	Q.	Please describe the general approach used in performing the marginal cost study
13		regression analyses.
14	A.	I reviewed the regression equations that I developed to ensure that the estimates were
15		reasonable and that they did not violate important statistical requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Some of the Cost Variables that I used include capacity-related distribution plant, customer-related O&M expense, and A&G Expense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Some of the "Cost Driver" variables that I used include normalized peak demand and number of customers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is a simplified version of the regression equations that were estimated. Each of the regression equations that are provided in Attachments MFB-MCS-1, 4, 5, and 6 may include more than one cost driver and/or dummy variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The term "a" is the intercept of the equation. It is the level of the Cost Variable that is constant, regardless of the level of the Cost Driver variable.

1		Specifically, I tested each equation to ensure that there is no statistically significant level
2		of autocorrelation in the regression equation. Autocorrelation is a violation of the
3		requirements of regression analysis, <sup>6</sup> which, if not corrected, would inappropriately affect
4		the regression statistics. The statistical software that I used, SPSS, can identify and
5		correct for autocorrelation.
6		I also tested each equation to look for "structural shifts," which are changes in the
7		relationship between the Cost Variable and Cost Driver variable starting in a specific year
8		and continuing for a number of years. I specifically looked for structural shifts that might
9		have been related to the 2012 acquisition of Granite State by Liberty. If I determined that
10		there was a structural shift, I tested additional regression equations that allowed the slope
11		and intercept terms to be different for the time periods before and after the time of the
12		structural shift. If a regression equation with terms addressing the structural shift was
13		superior to other regression equations, I used the slope coefficient of the structural shift
14		regression equation as the marginal cost estimate.
15	Q.	What criteria did you use to accept or reject a regression equation?
16	A.	To assess whether a regression equation provided a reliable estimate of the marginal cost
17		component, I reviewed the regression equation statistics. Specifically, I reviewed:

18 19 • The reasonableness of the regression equation results. I considered that an equation was reasonable if the slope coefficient had the "right sign"<sup>7</sup> and was the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Autocorrelation is a violation of the assumption that the regression equation error terms are uncorrelated. In the presence of autocorrelation, the regression does not produce Best Linear Unbiased Estimates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The slope coefficient is the "right" sign if the coefficient is positive. A negative slope would mean, for example, that as peak demand increased, capacity related distribution plant additions would decrease.

1		"right size." <sup>8</sup>
2		• The explanatory power of the regression equation as a whole, as measured by the
3		R-squared statistic.
4		• The explanatory power of the slope coefficient, as well as other variables included
5		in the model, as measured by the t statistic.
6		C. <u>Marginal Cost Study Results</u>
7		1. Overview
8	Q.	Please describe how you have organized the marginal cost study.
9	A.	The schedules that make up the Marginal Cost Study are provided in the List of
,	11.	The schedules that make up the Marginal Cost Study are provided in the List of
10		Attachments. Table 2 provides a summary of the Marginal Cost Study schedules.

11

# Table 2: Summary of Marginal Cost Study Schedules

Attachment	Pages	Topics
MFB-1	1–3	Calculation of marginal Capacity-related Plant Additions
MFB-2	1	Calculation of marginal Customer-related Plant Additions
MFB-3	1–5	Calculation of marginal cost of Outdoor Lighting
MFB-4	1–6	Calculation of marginal Distribution Capacity-related Expenses
MFB-5	1–5	Calculation of marginal Customer-related Expenses
MFB-6	1–3	Development of loading factors
MFB-7	1–13	Calculation of Levelized Fixed Charge Rates
MFB-8	1–3	Summary of Marginal Capacity Costs
MFB-9	1	Summary of Marginal Customer Costs
MFB-10	1	Summary of Marginal Cost Estimates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The "right size" is a subjective test to ensure that the slope coefficient is not implausibly large or small.

1		2. Marginal Distribution Capacity-related Plant Addition Costs
2	Q.	Please explain how you prepared regression analyses to estimate the marginal cost
3		of capacity-related distribution plant additions attributed to growth.
4	A.	I prepared regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between normalized
5		peak demand and the following types of growth-related distribution plant addition costs:
6		(1) capacity-related primary distribution plant additions, (2) capacity-related secondary
7		distribution plant additions, and (3) capacity-related line transformer plant additions. The
8		regression results are located on Attachment MFB-1, pages 1 through 3.
9	Q.	In summary, what is the marginal cost of distribution capacity-related plant
10	C.	additions attributed to growth?
11	A.	The total marginal cost of distribution capacity-related plant additions attributed to
11	А.	The total marginal cost of distribution capacity-related plant additions attributed to
12		growth is summarized in Table 3 below.
13		Table 3: Marginal Cost of Distribution Capacity-related Plant Additions

Marginal Plant additions Component	\$ per MW	Source
Primary	\$115,690	MFB-1 page 1
Secondary	\$82,116	MFB-1 page 2
Line Transformers	\$84,022	MFB-1 page 3
Total cost of Marginal Plant additions	\$281,828	

1

# 3. Marginal Customer-related Plant Addition Costs

## 2 Q. Please explain how you estimated marginal Customer-related plant addition costs.

- 3 A. Marginal Customer-related plant addition costs measure the marginal cost to connect a
- 4 customer, which includes the current installed cost of a meter and a service. Because the
- 5 cost of a meter and a service is generally correlated with the size of the customer, I asked
- 6 the Company to provide an analysis of the current installed cost of a meter and installed
- 7 cost of a service that is typical for each rate class. The customer-related plant additions
- 8 analysis is provided in Attachment MFB-2.

# 9 Q. In summary, what is the marginal cost of customer-related plant additions?

- 10 A. The total marginal cost of customer-related plant additions is summarized in Table 4
- 11 below.
- 12

Table 4: Marginal Cost of Customer-Related Plant Additions

	D	<b>D-10</b>	G-1	G-2	G-3	Т	V
Service	\$693.29	\$693.29	\$ 759.17	\$759.17	\$ 693.29	\$693.29	\$ 693.29
Meter	\$105.00	\$360.20	\$1,605.00	\$900.80	\$ 630.20	\$195.20	\$ 290.20
Total	\$798.29	\$1,053.49	\$2,364.17	\$1,659.97	\$1,323.49	\$888.49	\$983.49

<sup>13</sup> Source: MFB-2, Page 1, Lines 4, 8, 9

14

# 4. Marginal Outdoor Lighting Costs

# 15 Q. Please explain how you estimated the total Marginal Cost of Outdoor Lighting.

A. Marginal outdoor lighting costs measure the marginal cost to provide service to outdoor lighting customers, which includes the current installed costs of the luminaire and of the pole and accessories. Because the cost of a luminaire and of a pole is dependent on the size and type of luminaire and pole that is installed, I asked the Company to provide an

1		analysis of the current installed cost for each size and type of (a) luminaire, and (b) pole
2		and accessory listed in the Company's tariff. The Company's analysis is provided in
3		Attachment MFB-3.
4		I estimated the total marginal cost for outdoor lighting by applying the fixed carrying
5		charge rate (as discussed below) to the marginal cost for each size and type of (a)
6		luminaire, and (b) pole and accessory to develop a levelized annual cost, which was then
7		adjusted for inflation. The calculated levelized annual costs were multiplied by the total
8		number of luminaires and poles and accessories by size and type to arrive at a total
9		marginal cost for outdoor lighting, which is provided in Attachment MFB-3, pages 1
10		through 5.
11 12		5. Marginal Distribution Capacity-related Operations and Maintenance Expense
	Q.	
12	Q.	Expense
12 13	<b>Q.</b> A.	Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related
12 13 14		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense.
12 13 14 15		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense. I prepared six regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between
12 13 14 15 16		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense. I prepared six regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between normalized peak demand and the following types of capacity-related distribution
12 13 14 15 16 17		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense. I prepared six regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between normalized peak demand and the following types of capacity-related distribution operations and maintenance expense: (1) primary operations expense, (2) secondary
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense. I prepared six regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between normalized peak demand and the following types of capacity-related distribution operations and maintenance expense: (1) primary operations expense, (2) secondary operations expense, (3) line transformers operations expense, (4) primary maintenance

1		6. Marginal Customer-related Operations and Maintenance Expense
2	Q.	Please explain how you estimated Marginal Customer-related Distribution
3		Operations and Maintenance Expenses.
4	A.	I prepared a regression analysis to estimate the statistical relationship between (a) the
5		customer-related distribution operations and maintenance expense associated with
6		operating and maintaining customer meters and services, and (b) the number of annual
7		customers based on historical data that the Company provided. The regression results are
8		summarized on Attachment MFB-5, page 1.
9		I prepared an additional analysis, which is provided in Attachment MFB-5, page 2, to
10		allocate the customer-related O&M expense to rate classes in a way that reflects that the
11		cost to maintain meters and services is related to the size of the meter and service, which
12		varies by rate class. As shown in Attachment MFB-5, page 2 column (C), the marginal
13		customer-related O&M expense was allocated to rate classes based on the marginal
14		service and meter plant per customer, from Attachment MFB-2, page 1. The results of
15		this allocation process are shown in Attachment MFB-5, page 2 column (G).
16		7. Marginal Customer Accounting Expenses
	0	
17	Q.	Please explain how you estimated Marginal Customer Accounting Expenses.
18	A.	I prepared a regression analysis to estimate the statistical relationship between (a)
19		customer accounting expenses, excluding bad debt expense, and (b) the number of annual
20		customers, based on historical data that the Company provided. The regression results
21		are summarized on Attachment MFB-5, page 3.

1		I prepared an additional analysis, which is provided in Attachment MFB-5, page 4, where
2		the Company provided the relative weighting factors for each rate class to allocate the
3		customer accounting expenses. The results of this allocation process are shown in
4		Attachment MFB-5, page 4 column (F).
5		Lastly, I prepared Attachment MFB-5, page 5, to calculate the pro forma bad debt
6		expense rate by rate class, based on data provided by the Company.
7		8. Marginal Loading Factors and Adjustment Factors
8	Q.	Please explain how you estimated Marginal Loading Factors.
9	A.	I calculated several loading factors to account for the following four cost components that
10		are relatively small or for which it is difficult to develop marginal cost-type statistical
11		relationships: (a) plant-related A&G expense, (b) non-plant-related A&G expense, (c)
12		M&S and prepayments, and (d) general plant. For each of these loading factors I
13		prepared regression analyses using the loading factor cost component as the dependent
14		variable, and an appropriate measure of cost, utility plant, or total O&M expense as the
15		independent variable. The loading factor analyses are provided in Attachment MFB-6,
16		pages 1 through 3.
17	Q.	Please explain why you used loss factors to adjust the marginal capacity-related
18		costs.
19	A.	The measures of capacity-related marginal cost that are used in the MCS are calculated
20		unit costs per kW of normalized peak demand, measured at customers' meters. The total
21		distribution system demand is greater than the demand measured at customers' meters

1		because some energy is lost in the process of transmitting and distributing electricity to
2		customers. Losses are greatest for those customers taking service at secondary voltage,
3		and somewhat less for customers that are taking service at primary or higher voltages.
4		The Company provided separate loss factors for primary and secondary service. I
5		developed an analysis to apply the loss factors to the marginal capacity-related costs,
6		which is provided in Attachment MFB-8, page 2.
7		9. Fixed Carrying Charge Rate
8	Q.	Please explain how you calculated the Fixed Carrying Charge Rates.
9	A.	The marginal cost that I calculated for primary and secondary capacity-related
10		distribution plant, line transformers, services, meters, and street lighting is the initial cost
11		of an asset that is placed into service. Fixed carrying charge rates ("FCCR") are used to
12		convert the marginal cost of plant additions from a cost that represents the estimated
13		marginal investment into the levelized annual cost of that investment. Attachment MFB-
14		7, page 1, is a summary of the FCCRs for (a) primary and secondary capacity-related
15		distribution plant, (b) line transformers, (c) services, (d) meters, and (e) street lighting.
16		This page shows Economist's and Engineer's FCCR results.
17		An Economist's FCCR is based on annual streams of costs that are fixed in real dollars,
18		and therefore vary in nominal dollars. An Engineer's FCCR is based on annual streams
19		of costs that are constant in nominal dollars, and therefore vary in real dollars. However,
20		the present values of the Economist's and Engineer's costs and revenues are identical.
21		For marginal cost analyses, the Economist's FCCR calculations are generally accepted as

1		being the appropriate version because the Economist's FCCR appropriately accounts for
2		the reduced value of the revenue requirements of that plant addition in future years, due
3		to price inflation, and therefore better reflects the economic and financial implications of
4		regulated ratemaking.
5		Attachment MFB-7, pages 1 through 13, provides the assumptions that were used in the
6		calculation of the FCCR and the detailed calculations of the five FCCRs. The
7		calculations of the FCCR follow standard rate making principles to determine revenue
8		requirements associated with plant additions, including return, taxes, depreciation,
9		salvage value, etc.
10		D. <u>Summary of Marginal Cost Study Results</u>
11	Q.	Please explain the schedules that you have prepared to summarize the Marginal
12		Cost results.
12 13	A.	<b>Cost results.</b> Attachment MFB-8, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal distribution capacity
	A.	
13	A.	Attachment MFB-8, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal distribution capacity
13 14	A.	Attachment MFB-8, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal distribution capacity costs, including all loading factors and adjustments.
13 14 15	A.	Attachment MFB-8, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal distribution capacity costs, including all loading factors and adjustments. Attachment MFB-8, page 2, shows the calculation of the loss-adjusted marginal capacity
13 14 15 16	A.	Attachment MFB-8, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal distribution capacity costs, including all loading factors and adjustments. Attachment MFB-8, page 2, shows the calculation of the loss-adjusted marginal capacity costs.
13 14 15 16 17	A.	Attachment MFB-8, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal distribution capacity costs, including all loading factors and adjustments. Attachment MFB-8, page 2, shows the calculation of the loss-adjusted marginal capacity costs. Attachment MFB-8, page 3, shows the calculation of the loss-adjusted marginal capacity

6	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5		requested distribution revenue requirement to firm rate classes.
4		Company's proposed base distribution rates in this proceeding to allocate the Company's
3		calculation of total marginal costs by rate class, which is used in designing the
2		capacity costs, adjusted for bad debts. Attachment MFB-10, page 1, also shows the
1		Attachment MFB-10, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal customer and

7 A. Yes, it does.

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

#### Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-Related Costs: Primary System

1 Regr	ession Results				
2					
3 Selec	cted Model: Primary Distribution Plant Additions 2018\$ = F(N	ormalized Peak Rolling	2 Year Average, Trend	l, Lag 4)	
4					
5	Dependent Variable				
6	Total Cumulative Annual Distribution Capacity Ac	dditions 2018\$ (2002 - 2018	)		
7		Database			
	Explanatory Variables	variable name	Coefficient value	t test	Significance
8	Constant	Constant	-10,287,978	-2.81	0.0147
9	Normalized Peak Rolling 2 Year Average	Norm_pk_R2	115,690	5.72	0.0001
10	Annual Trend	Trend	2,046,881	79.10	0.0000
11	Autoregressive Term Lag 4	Lag 4	-0.8825	-7.96	0.0000
12	Model Statistics	Model 2.0			
13	R_Squared	0.9974			
14	Adjusted R_Squared	0.9967			
15	Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	1.9200			
16	Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
17					

Marginal Cost Calculation

Primary Distribution Plant Additions = - \$ 10,287,978 + \$ 115,690 x Norm\_pk\_R2 + \$ 2,046,881 x Trend + - \$ 0.8825 x Lag 4

 $\partial$  Primary Distribution Plant /  $\partial$  Normalized Peak Demand = \$115,690 per MW

18 19

20 21 22 n

· . . .

#### Docket No. DE 19-064 Attachment MFB-1 Page 2 of 3

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

#### Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-Related Costs: Secondary System

1	Regression Results	
2		
3	Selected Model:	Secondary Distribution Plant Additions $2018$ = F(Normalized Peak Rolling 2 Year Average, Trend <sub>2011-2018</sub> ,
		Dummy <sub>2010</sub> , Lag 4)

	Database variable	Coefficient		
Explanatory Variables	name	value	t test	Si
Constant	Constant	-11,133,387	-3.72	
Normalized Peak Rolling 2 Year Average	Norm_pk_R2	82,116	5.01	
Interactive: Trend for 2011 to 2018	TrendxD_2011_After	286,528	15.00	
Dummy: Year 2010	D_2010	1,435,004	2.58	
Autoregressive Term Lag 4	Lag 4	(0.7068)	-3.12	
Model Statistics	Model 2.0			
R_Squared	0.9646			
Adjusted R_Squared	0.9537			
Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	8.5215			
Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			

Marginal Cost Calculation

Secondary Distribution Plant Additions = - \$ 11,133,387 + \$ 82,116 x Norm\_pk\_R2 + \$ 286,528 x TrendxD\_2011\_After + \$ 1,435,004 x D\_2010 - \$ 0.7068 x Lag 4

∂ Secondary Distribution Plant / ∂ Normalized Peak Demand = \$82,116 per MW

II-410 

#### Docket No. DE 19-064 Attachment MFB-1 Page 3 of 3

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

# Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-Related Costs: Line Transformers

1 F	Regression Results				
2					
3 S	Selected Model: Line Transformers Plant Additions 2018\$ = F(Nor	malized Peak Rolling 2 N	Year Average, Tr	end, Dummy	<sub>2007</sub> , Dummy <sub>2014</sub> )
4					
5	Dependent Variable				
6	Total Cumulative Annual Distribution Capacity A	dditions 2018\$ (2001 - 2018)			
7		Database	Coefficient		
	Explanatory Variables	variable name	value	t test	Significance
8	Constant	Constant	-10,407,873	-5.22	0.0002
9	Normalized Peak Rolling 2 Year Average	Norm_pk_R2	84,022	7.32	0.0000
10	Annual Trend	Trend	463,545	20.19	0.0000
11	Dummy: Year 2007	D_2007	901,471	2.31	0.0380
12	Dummy: Year 2014	D_2014	-1,046,876	-2.62	0.0210
13	Model Statistics	Model 1.0			
14	R_Squared	0.9890			
5	Adjusted R_Squared	0.9856			
6	Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	3.2888			
7	Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
18					
19					
20	Marginal Cost Calculation				
21	Line Transformers Additions = - \$ 10,407,873 + \$ D_2014	\$ 84,022 x Norm_pk_R2	+ \$463,545 x T	rend + \$ 901	1,471 x D_2007 - \$ 1
22					
23 24	$\partial$ Line Transformers / $\partial$ Normalized Peak Demand	l = \$84,022 per MW			

II-411 025

## Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Services and Meters Plant

Line			Domestic-	General	General	General	Outdoor	Limited All		
No.	Description	Domestic	Opt. Peak	TOU	Long Hour	Service	Lighting	Electric	Space	Explanation
110.		D	D-10	G-1	G-2	G-3	М	Т	V	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
1	Service Costs									
2	Representative Cost	\$693.29	\$693.29	\$759.17	\$759.17	\$693.29		\$693.29	\$693.29	Company Data
3	Customers per Service	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	Company Data
4	Average Service Cost per Customer	\$ 693.29	\$ 693.29	\$ 759.17	\$ 759.17	\$ 693.29		\$ 693.29	\$ 693.29	Line 2 / Line 3
5	Meter Costs									
6	Current Unit Cost for Metering	\$ 105.00	\$ 360.20	\$ 1,605.00	\$ 900.80	\$ 630.20		\$ 195.20	\$ 290.20	Company Data
7	Meters per Customer	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	Company Data
8	Average Meter Cost per Customer	\$ 105.00	\$ 360.20	\$ 1,605.00	\$ 900.80	\$ 630.20		\$ 195.20	\$ 290.20	Line 6 x Line 7
9	Total	\$798.29	\$1,053.49	\$2,364.17	\$1,659.97	\$1,323.49		\$888.49	\$983.49	Line 4 + Line 8

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Street Lighting - Luminaires

_	Luminaires Type	Sodium Vapor		Sodium Vapor		Sodium Vapor		Sodium Vapor		Sodium Vapor
	Size of Street Light Lumnes	4000		9600		27500		50000		9,600 (Post Top)
No.	Description	LUM HPS RWY 50W								UM HPS POST 100W
	Replacement Luminaire Type		LU		LU		LU		Ll	UM HPS POST 100W
	(A)	(B)		(C)		(D)		(E)		(F)
1	Installation Costs									
2	Equipment, Line Truck Costs									
3	Cost	38.50		38.50		38.50		44.00		55.00
4	Burden	7.41		7.41		7.41		8.47		10.59
5	Total Equipment, Line Truck Costs	\$ 45.91	\$	45.91	\$	45.91	\$	52.47	\$	65.59
6	Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs									
7	Cost	305.45		309.54		335.09		358.01		304.01
8	Burden	94.69		95.96		103.88		110.98		94.24
9	Total Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs	\$ 400.14	\$	405.50	\$	438.97	\$	468.99	\$	398.25
10	Payroll, Lineworker Costs									
11	Cost	35.09		35.09		35.09		40.10		50.13
12	Burden	52.11		52.11		52.11		59.55		74.44
13	Total Payroll, Lineworker Costs	\$ 87.20	\$	87.20	\$	87.20	\$	99.65	\$	124.57
14	Total Installation Costs	\$ 533.25	\$	538.61	\$	572.08	\$	621.11	\$	588.41
15	Fixed Charge Rate	11.97%		11.97%		11.97%		11.97%		11.97%
	Annualized Cost	\$ 63.81	\$	64.46		68.46		74.33		
17	Escalator to Adjust to 2020 Rate Year	3.84%		3.84%		3.84%		3.84%		3.84%
	Adjusted Annualized Cost	\$ 66.26	\$	66.93	\$	71.09	\$	77.18	\$	73.12
10				1.020						
19	Installed Luminaires	2,426	¢	1,829	<b>•</b>	497	<b>•</b>	72	<i>ф</i>	402
20	Total Annual Marginal Cost	\$ 160,753.52	\$	122,412.83	\$	35,330.68	\$	5,556.99	\$	29,393.07

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Street Lighting - Luminaires

	Luminaires Type	Sodium Vapor	Sodium Vapor	Incandescent	Mercury Vapor	Mercury Vapor	Mercury Vapor
Line	Size of Street Light Lumnes	27,500 (Flood)	50,000 (Flood)	1000	4000	8000	22000
No.	Description			LUM INC RWY 103W			
	Replacement Luminaire Type	LUM HPS FLD 250W	LUM HPS FLD 400W	LUM HPS RWY 50W	LUM HPS RWY 50W	LUM HPS RWY 100W	LUM HPS RWY 250W
	(A)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
1	Installation Costs						
2	Equipment, Line Truck Costs						
3	Cost	38.50	38.50	38.50	38.50	38.50	38.50
4	Burden	7.41	7.41	7.41	7.41	7.41	7.41
5	Total Equipment, Line Truck Costs	\$ 45.91	\$ 45.91	\$ 45.91	\$ 45.91	\$ 45.91	\$ 45.91
6	Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs						
7	Cost	413.38	497.46	305.45	305.45	309.54	335.09
8	Burden	128.15	154.21	94.69	94.69	95.96	103.88
9	Total Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs	\$ 541.53	\$ 651.67	\$ 400.14	\$ 400.14	\$ 405.50	\$ 438.97
10	Payroll, Lineworker Costs						
11	Cost	35.09	35.09	35.09	35.09	35.09	35.09
12	Burden	52.11	52.11	52.11	52.11	52.11	52.11
13	Total Payroll, Lineworker Costs	\$ 87.20	\$ 87.20	\$ 87.20	\$ 87.20	\$ 87.20	\$ 87.20
14	Total Installation Costs	\$ 674.64	\$ 784.78	\$ 533.25	\$ 533.25	\$ 538.61	\$ 572.08
15	Fixed Charge Rate	11.97%	11.97%	11.97%	11.97%	11.97%	11.97%
16	Annualized Cost	\$ 80.74	\$ 93.92	\$ 63.81	\$ 63.81	\$ 64.46	\$ 68.46
17	Escalator to Adjust to 2020 Rate Year	3.84%	3.84%	3.84%	3.84%	3.84%	3.84%
18	Adjusted Annualized Cost	\$ 83.83	\$ 97.52	\$ 66.26	\$ 66.26	\$ 66.93	\$ 71.09
19	Installed Luminaires	255	420	23	59	111	50
20	Total Annual Marginal Cost	\$ 21,377.21	\$ 40,983.75	\$ 1,524.04	\$ 3,909.50	\$ 7,429.10	\$ 3,554.39

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Street Lighting - Luminaires

	Luminaires Type	М	lercury Vapor		Mercury Vapor	Total	
Line	Size of Street Light Lumnes		63000		22,000 (Flood)	Outdoor	Et
No.	Description	LUM	MV RWY 1000W	LU	M MV FLD 400W	Lighting	Explanation
	Replacement Luminaire Type	LUM	HPS RWY 400W	LUN	M HPS FLD 250W	(Luminaires)	
	(A)		(M)		(N)	(0)	(P)
1	Installation Costs						
2	Equipment, Line Truck Costs						
3	Cost		44.00		38.50		Company Data
4	Burden		8.47		7.41		Company Data
5	Total Equipment, Line Truck Costs	\$	52.47	\$	45.91		Line 3 + Line 4
6	Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs						
7	Cost		358.01		413.38		Company Data
8	Burden		110.98		128.15		Company Data
9	Total Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs	\$	468.99	\$	541.53		Line 7 + Line 8
10	Payroll, Lineworker Costs						
11	Cost		40.10		35.09		Company Data
12	Burden		59.55		52.11		Company Data
13	Total Payroll, Lineworker Costs	\$	99.65	\$	87.20		Line 11 + Line 12
14	Total Installation Costs	\$	621.11	\$	674.64		Line $5 + \text{Line } 9 + \text{Line } 13$
	Fixed Charge Rate	<u>_</u>	11.97%		11.97%		Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 7
16	Annualized Cost	\$	74.33	\$	80.74		Line 14 x Line 15
17	Englished A Protoco 2020 Data Ma		2.0.40/		2.0.40/		((1 + A + MED - 7 + 1 + C + 1 + (C) + 1 + 1 + 1 + (C) + 1)
1/	Escalator to Adjust to 2020 Rate Year		3.84%		3.84%		((1 + Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 19) <sup>2</sup> ) - 1
10	A diverse d Amountine d Cost	¢	77.18	¢	83.83		Ling 16 = (1 + Ling 17)
18	Adjusted Annualized Cost	\$	//.18	\$	83.83		Line 16 x (1 + Line 17)
19	Installed Luminaires		1		20	6 165	Company Data
-	Total Annual Marginal Cost	\$	77.18	\$	20 1.676.64	,	Line 18 x Line 19
20	i otai Aililuai Marginai Cost	φ	//.10	φ	1,070.04	φ <del>4</del> 33,979	LINE TO A LINE 17

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Street Lighting - Poles and Accessories

	Poles and Accessories	(	Overhead Service		Non-Metallic Standard		Non-Metallic Standard
Line	Poles and Accessories Type		Wood Poles	Fil		Fi	berglass with Foundation $< 25$ ft.
	Description		POLE - WOOD		POLE FIBER PT < 25FT		POLE FIBER RWY < 25FT
1.01	Replacement Pole and Accessory Type		POLE - WOOD		POLE FIBER PT < 25FT		POLE FIBER RWY < 25FT
	(A)		(B)		(C)		(D)
1	Installation Costs						
2	Equipment, Line Truck Costs						
3	Cost		187.00		192.00		175.00
4	Burden		36.00		36.96		33.69
5	Total Equipment, Line Truck Costs	\$	223.00	¢	228.96	¢	
5	Total Equipment, Ente Truck Costs	φ	223.00	φ	228.90	φ	208.09
6	Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs						
7	Cost		206.61		613.26		1,033.11
8	Burden		64.05		190.11		320.26
9	Total Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs	\$	270.66	\$	803.37	\$	1,353.37
10	Payroll, Lineworker Costs						
11	Cost		305.60		255.19		259.42
12	Burden		453.81		378.96		385.24
13	Total Payroll, Lineworker Costs	\$	759.41	\$	634.15	\$	644.66
14	Total Installation Costs	\$	1,253.07	\$	1,666.48	\$	2,206.72
15	Fixed Charge Rate		11.97%		11.97%		11.97%
16	Annualized Cost	\$	149.96		199.43		
17	Escalator to Adjust to 2020 Rate Year		3.84%		3.84%		3.84%
18	Adjusted Annualized Cost	\$	155.71	\$	207.08	\$	274.21
19	Installed Luminaires		114		245		142
	Total Annual Marginal Cost	\$	17,750.84	\$	50,734.69	\$	
		Ŷ	1,,,00,01	Ψ	20,751.05	Ψ	20,750.07

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Street Lighting - Poles and Accessories

	Poles and Accessories	Metallic Standard	Metallic Standard	Total	
Line	Poles and Accessories Type	Metal Poles - Direct Embedded	Metal Poles with Foundation	Outdoor	
	Description	POLE METAL EMBEDDED	POLE METAL	Lighting	Explanation
	Replacement Pole and Accessory Type	POLE METAL EMBEDDED	POLE METAL	(Poles and	
	(A)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1	Installation Costs				
2	Equipment, Line Truck Costs				
3	Cost	192.00	224.00		Company Data
4	Burden	36.96	43.12		Company Data
5	Total Equipment, Line Truck Costs	\$ 228.96	\$ 267.12		Line 3 + Line 4
6	Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs				
7	Cost	613.26	1,576.77		Company Data
8	Burden	190.11	488.80		Company Data
9	Total Inventory, Street Light Materials Costs	\$ 803.37	\$ 2,065.57		Line 7 + Line 8
10	Payroll, Lineworker Costs				
11	Cost	255.19	186.78		Company Data
12	Burden	378.96	277.37		Company Data
13	Total Payroll, Lineworker Costs	\$ 634.15	\$ 464.15		Line 11 + Line 12
14	Total Installation Costs	\$ 1,666.48	\$ 2,796.84		Line $5 + \text{Line } 9 + \text{Line } 13$
	Fixed Charge Rate	11.97%			Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 7
16	Annualized Cost	\$ 199.43	\$ 334.70		Line 14 x Line 15
17		2.049	2.040/		
17	Escalator to Adjust to 2020 Rate Year	3.84%	3.84%		((1 + Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 19)^2) -
10	A limited A surveilling I Court	¢ 207.00	ф <u>рада</u> са		$\frac{1}{1 + 1} = 1(-\pi/1 + 1 + 1/2)$
18	Adjusted Annualized Cost	\$ 207.08	\$ 347.54		Line 16 x (1 + Line 17)
19	Installed Luminaires	162	98	761	Company Data
20	Total Annual Marginal Cost	\$ 33,547.02			Line 18 x Line 19
20	i otai Annual Marginal Cost	φ 55,547.02	φ 34,039.05	\$ 175,030	Line to x Line 19

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

#### Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense: Primary System

# Regression Results Selected Model: Primary Distribution Non-Customer Operations Expense 2018\$ = F(Normalized Peak Rolling 2 Year Average, Dummy<sub>2014</sub>, Dummy<sub>2005</sub>, Dummy<sub>2006</sub>, Trend<sub>2001-2012</sub>)

Dependent Variable	$\sim 2018^{\circ}$ (2001 2018)			
Primary Distribution Non-Customer Operations Expens	Database variable	Coefficient		
Explanatory Variables	name	value	t test	Significanc
Constant	Constant	-5,175,908	-4.91	0.000
Normalized Peak Rolling 2 Year Average	Norm pk R2	35,927	6.16	0.000
Dummy: 2014	D_2014	790,435	3.04	0.01
Dummy: 2005	D_2005	-1,003,370	-4.30	0.00
Dummy: 2006	D_2006	-583,428	-2.49	0.028
Interactive: Trend for 2001 to 2012	Trend_2001_2012	-101,220	-6.98	0.000
Model Statistics	Model 1.0			
R_Squared	0.9211			
Adjusted R_Squared	0.8882			
Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	20.4350	)		
Passes ACF/PACF	Yes	5		

#### Marginal Cost Calculation

II-418  Primary Distribution Non-Customer Operations Expense = - \$ 5,175,908 + \$ 35,927 x Norm\_pk\_R2 + \$ 790,435 x D\_2014 - \$ 1,003,370 x D\_2005 - \$ 583,428 x D\_2006 + - \$ 101,220 x Trend\_2001\_2012

∂ Primary Distribution Non-Customer Operations Expense / ∂ Normalized Peak Demand = \$35,927 per MW

Docket No. 19-064 Exhibit 13

#### Docket No. DE 19-064 Attachment MFB-4 Page 2 of 6

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

#### Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense: Secondary System

#### 1 Regression Results

3 Selected Model: Secondary Distribution Non-Customer Operations Expense 2018\$ = F(Normalized Peak Rolling 2 year average, Dummy<sub>2003-2012</sub>, Dummy<sub>2014</sub>, Dummy<sub>2002</sub>, Dummy<sub>2013</sub>)

Secondary Distribution Non-Customer Operations	Expense 2018\$ (2001 - 2	018)		
	Database	Coefficient		
Explanatory Variables	variable name	value	t test	Significance
Constant	Constant	-73,748	-0.24	0.812
Normalized Peak Rolling 2 year average	Norm_pk_R2	3,410	2.12	0.057
Dummy: Years 2003 to 2012	D_2003_2012	-430,838	-20.16	0.000
Dummy: Year 2014	D_2014	490,516	11.45	0.000
Dummy: Year 2002	D_2002	-393,189	-7.80	0.000
Dummy: Year 2001	D_2001	-329,723	-4.87	0.000
Dummy: Year 2013	D_2013	179,078	4.40	0.00
Model Statistics	Model 1.1			
R_Squared	0.9903			
Adjusted R_Squared	0.9849			
Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	12.2651			
Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			

#### Marginal Cost Calculation

Secondary Distribution Non-Customer Operations Expense = - \$ 73,748 + \$ 3,410 x Norm\_pk\_R2 - \$ 430,838 x D\_2003\_2012 + \$ 490,516 x D\_2014 - \$ 393,189 x D\_2002 - \$ 329,723 x D\_2001 + \$ 179,078 x D\_2013

∂ Secondary Distribution Non-Customer Operations Expense / ∂ Normalized Peak Demand = \$3,410 per MW

033 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>19

#### Docket No. DE 19-064 Attachment MFB-4 Page 3 of 6

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

#### Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense: Line Transformers

#### 1 Regression Results

3 Selected Model: Line Transformers Non-Customer Operations Expense 2018\$ = F(Normalized Peak Rolling 2 year average, Dummy<sub>2004-2011</sub>, Dummy<sub>2012</sub>, Dummy<sub>2013</sub>, Dummy<sub>2014</sub>, Trend, Lag 2)

Dependent Variable				
Line Transformers Non-Customer Operations Exp	ense 2018\$ (2002 - 2018)			
	Database	Coefficient		
Explanatory Variables	variable name	value	t test	Significance
Constant	Constant	-215,021	-2.39	0.0402
Normalized Peak Rolling 2 year average	Norm_pk_R2	1,458	2.62	0.0276
Dummy: Years 2004 to 2011	D_2004_2011	-39,651	-5.17	0.0006
Dummy: 2012	D_2012	-136,634	-16.53	0.0000
Dummy: 2013	D_2013	96,844	11.76	0.0000
Dummy: 2014	D_2014	195,435	21.24	0.0000
Annual Trend	Trend	1,592	2.04	0.0721
Autoregressive Term Lag 2	Lag 2	-0.7952	-3.90	0.0036
Model Statistics	Model 1.1			
R_Squared	0.9963			
Adjusted R_Squared	0.9934			
Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	8.2472			
Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			

Marginal Cost Calculation

Line Transformers Non-Customer Operations Expense = - \$ 215,021 + \$ 1,458 x Norm\_pk\_R2 - \$ 39,651 x D\_2004\_2011 + - \$ 136,634 x D\_2012 + \$ 96,844 x D\_2013 + \$ 195,435 x D\_2014 + \$ 1,592 x Trend + - \$ 0.795 x Lag 2

 $\partial$  Line Transformers Non-Customer Operations Expense /  $\partial$  Normalized Peak Demand = \$1,458 per MW

II-420  

#### Docket No. DE 19-064 Attachment MFB-4 Page 4 of 6

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. **Marginal Cost Study**

#### Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense: Primary System

**Regression Results** 1

2

#### 3 Selected Model: Primary Distribution Non-Customer Maintenance Expense 2018\$ = F(1 Year Lag in Normal Peak, 1 Year Lag in SAIFI, Dummy<sub>2013-2015</sub>, Dummy<sub>2010</sub>, Dummy<sub>2005</sub>)

4					
5	Dependent Variable				
6	Primary Distribution Non-Customer Maintenance	e Expense 2018\$ (2001 - 2018	)		
7		Database	Coefficient		Significanc
	Explanatory Variables	variable name	value	t test	e
8	Constant	Constant	-1,792,424	-1.75	0.1060
9	1 Year Lag in Normal Peak	Norm_pk_L1	16,349	3.09	0.0094
10	1 Year Lag in SAIFI	SAIFI_L1	307,772	2.22	0.0462
11	Dummy: Years 2013 to 2015	D_2013_2015	-622,628	-3.20	0.0077
12	Dummy: 2010	D_2010	-769,943	-2.51	0.0273
13	Dummy: 2005	D_2005	-688,355	-2.32	0.0386
14	Model Statistics	Model 1.0			
15	R_Squared	0.6953			
16	Adjusted R_Squared	0.5684			
17	Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	10.7278			
18	Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
19					

Marginal Cost Calculation

Primary Distribution Non-Customer Maintenance Expense = - \$ 1,792,424 + \$ 16,349 x Norm pk L1 + \$ 307,772 x SAIFI L1 - \$ 622,628 x D 2013 2015 - \$ 769,943 x D 2010 - \$ 688,355 x D 2005

∂ Primary Distribution Non-Customer Maintenance Expense / ∂ Normalized Peak Demand = \$16,349 per MW

Docket No. 19-064 Exhibit 13

24 II-421 035

20

21

22

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

## Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense: Secondary System

1 Regression Results

2

3 Selected Model: Secondary Distribution Non-Customer Maintenance Expense 2018 = F(2-Year Lag in Normal Peak, 1 Year Lag in SAIFI, Dummy<sub>2013-2015</sub>, Dummy<sub>2010</sub>)

4

19

20 21

22 23

II-422 036

-					
5	Dependent Variable				
6	Secondary Distribution Non-Customer Maintena	ance Expense 2018\$ (2002 - 20	18)		
7		Database	Coefficient		Significanc
	Explanatory Variables	variable name	value	t test	e
8	Constant	Constant	-1,401,231	-3.20	0.0076
9	2 Year Lag in Normal Peak	Norm_pk_L2	9,625	4.36	0.0009
10	1 Year Lag in SAIFI	SAIFI_L1	182,684	3.19	0.0078
11	Dummy: Years 2013 to 2015	D_2013_2015	-287,407	-3.68	0.0032
12	Dummy: 2010	D_2010	-387,144	-3.15	0.0084
13	Model Statistics	Model 1.0			
14	R_Squared	0.7502			
15	Adjusted R_Squared	0.6669			
16	Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	11.8537			
17	Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
18					

Marginal Cost Calculation

Secondary Distribution Non-Customer Maintenance Expense = - \$ 1,401,231 + \$ 9,625 x Norm\_pk\_L2 + \$ 182,684 x SAIFI\_L1 - \$ 287,407 x D\_2013\_2015 - \$ 387,144 x D\_2010

 $\partial$  Secondary Distribution Non-Customer Maintenance Expense /  $\partial$  Normalized Peak Demand = \$9,625 per MW

### Docket No. DE 19-064 Attachment MFB-4 Page 6 of 6

### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

# Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense: Line Transformers

1 Regression Results

2

3 Selected Model: Line Transformers Non-Customer Maintenance Expense  $2018\$ = F(2-Year Lag in Normal Peak, 1 Year Lag in SAIFI, Dummy_{2012})$ 

Line Transformers Non-Customer Maintenance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Database	Coefficient		
Explanatory Variables	variable name	value	t test	Significance
Constant	Constant	-410,080	-1.50	0.1566
2 Year Lag in Normal Peak	Norm_pk_L2	2,846	2.12	0.0537
1 Year Lag in SAIFI	SAIFI_L1	102,526	2.71	0.0180
Dummy: 2012	D_2012	162,136	2.05	0.0611
Model Statistics	Model 1.0			
R_Squared	0.4777			
Adjusted R_Squared	0.3572			
Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	19.8946			
Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
Marginal Cost Calculation				
Line Transformers Non-Customer Maintenance	Expense = $-$ \$ 410,080 + \$ 2,846	x Norm_pk_L2	+ \$ 102,52	6 x SAIFI_L1
D_2012				
$\partial$ Line Transformers Non-Customer Maintenand		1 0 0 0 4		

II-423 037

### Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense: Customer Related

1	Regression Resul	ts				
2 3	Selected Model:	Customer Related Operations and Maintenan Dummy <sub>2012</sub> , Dummy <sub>2013</sub> )	ce Expense 2018\$ = F(Customers L	agged 1 Year, T	rend <sub>2001-201</sub>	1,
4						
5	Depe	endent Variable				
6		Customer Related Operations and Maintenan	ce Expense 2018\$ (2001 - 2018)			
7			Database variable	Coefficient		
	Expl	anatory Variables	name	value	t test	Significance
8		Constant	Constant	-2,689,671	-0.95	0.3590
9		Customers Lagged 1 Year	Customer_L1	132.4	1.91	0.0790
10		Interactive: Trend for 2001 to 2011	I_Trendx2001_2011	-64,709	-2.34	0.0361
11		Dummy: 2012	D_2012	-1,380,942	-2.98	0.0107
12		Dummy: 2013	D_2013	-1,503,282	-3.23	0.0065
13	Mode	el Statistics	Model 1.0			
14		R_Squared	0.5705			
15		Adjusted R_Squared	0 4384			
16		Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	11.9110			
17		Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
18						
19						
20	Marg	ginal Cost Calculation				

Customer Related Operations and Maintenance Expense = - \$ 2,689,671 + \$ 132.4 x Customer L1 - \$ 64,709 x I Trendx2001 2011 - \$ 1,380,942 x D 2012 - \$ 1,503,282 x D 2013

 $\partial$  Customer Related Operations and Maintenance Expense /  $\partial$  Customer = \$132.4 per Customer

Docket No. 19-064 Exhibit 13

II-424 038

21

22 23

		Custor	ner V	Veightings				Customer Weighting	gs
		Test Year	S	ervice and			Relative	System Average	Weighted
Line	Customer	Number of	Me	ter Plant per		Total	Weight Per	Marginal Cost per	Marginal Cost
No.	Groups	Customers		customer		Cost	Customer	Customer	Per Customer
	(A)	(B)	(C)			(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
		Company Data	Att	Att MFB-2 p1,		ol (B) x Col (C)	Col (C) Line	Col (G) Line 9	Col (E) x Col (F)
				Line 9			(n) / Line 10		
1	D	35,382	\$	798.29	\$	28,244,829	0.893	\$ 132.4	\$118.17
2	D-10	440	\$	1,053.49	\$	463,307	1.178	\$ 132.4	\$155.95
3	G-1	138	\$	2,364.17	\$	326,728	2.644	\$ 132.4	\$349.96
4	G-2	907	\$	1,659.97	\$	1,505,268	1.856	\$ 132.4	\$245.72
5	G-3	5,670	\$	1,323.49	\$	7,504,221	1.480	\$ 132.4	\$195.91
6	М	N/A							
7	Т	964	\$	888.49	\$	856,318	0.994	\$ 132.4	\$131.52
8	V	18	\$	983.49	\$	17,301	1.100	\$ 132.4	\$145.58
9	Total	43,518			\$	38,917,972			\$132.38
10	Avg Cost pe	er Customer		\$894.30					

### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Class Weighted Customer Plant Related Expense

### **Summary of Marginal Customer Accounts**

1 Regression Results

3 Selected Model: Customer Accounts 2018\$ = F(Customers 2 Year Rolling Average, Trend<sub>2003-2011</sub>, Dummy<sub>2015</sub>, Dummy<sub>2012</sub>, Lag 3)

Customer Accounts 2018\$ (2003 - 2018)				
(2000-2000)	Database variable	Coefficient		Signifi
Explanatory Variables	name	value	t test	e
Constant	Constant	-2,383,823	-1.74	0.
Customers 2 Year Rolling Average	Customer_R2	109.6	3.33	0.0
Interactive: Trend for 2003 to 2011	I_Trendx2003_2011	-56,906	-4.95	0.0
Dummy: 2015	D_2015	662,473	4.02	0.0
Dummy: 2012	D_2012	-842,860	-5.82	0.0
Autoregressive Term Lag 3	Lag 3	-0.8664	-4.51	0.0
Model Statistics	Model 1.0			
R_Squared	0.8922			
Adjusted R_Squared	0.8384	1		
Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	6.1611	1		
Passes ACF/PACF	Yes	1		

Marginal Cost Calculation

Customer Accounts = - \$ 2,383,823 + \$ 109.6403 x Customer\_R2 + - \$ 056,906 x I\_Trendx2003\_2011 + \$ 662,473 x D\_2015+ - \$ 842,860 x D\_2012 - \$ 0.866 x Lag 3

 $\partial$  Customer Accounts /  $\partial$  Customer = \$109.6 per Customer

		Test Year					
Line	Customer	Number of	% of total	Relative Weight	Marginal Unit	Marginal Cost	
No.	Groups	Customers	Customers	Per Customer	Cost	Per Customer	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
		Att MFB-5 p2,	Col (B) Line (n) /	Company Data	Att MFB-5 p3,	Col (D) x Col (E)	
		Col (B)	Col (B) Line 9		Line 24		
1	D	35,382	81.30%	1.00	\$ 109.64	\$ 109.64	
2	D-10	440	1.01%	1.00	\$ 109.64	\$ 109.64	
3	G-1	138	0.32%	2.00	\$ 109.64	\$ 219.28	
4	G-2	907	2.08%	1.50	\$ 109.64	\$ 164.46	
5	G-3	5,670	13.03%	1.00	\$ 109.64	\$ 109.64	
6	Μ	N/A					
7	Т	964	2.21%	1.00	\$ 109.64	\$ 109.64	
8	V	18	0.04%	1.00	\$ 109.64	\$ 109.64	
9	Total	43,518			\$ 109.64		

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Class Weighted Customer Accounting & Marketing Expense

							Tot	al Normalized	
Line	Customer				Bad	l Debt Accounts	Ι	Distribution	Bad Debt Expense
No.	Groups	2018	8 Write Offs	Percent of Total Expense			Revenues	Percentage	
	(A)		(B)	(C)		(D)		(E)	(F)
		Con	npany Data	Col (B) Line (n)	Co	l (C) Line (n) x	С	ompany Data	Col (D) / Col (E)
				/ Col (B) Line 9	C	Col (D) Line 9			
1	D	\$	539,475	89.40%	\$	218,572	\$	18,987,618	1.15%
2	D-10	\$	7,204	1.19%	\$	2,919	\$	283,841	1.03%
3	G-1	\$	-	0.00%	\$	-	\$	8,954,512	0.00%
4	G-2	\$	25,204	4.18%	\$	10,212	\$	4,951,610	0.21%
5	G-3	\$	28,429	4.71%	\$	11,518	\$	4,867,118	0.24%
6	М	\$	377	0.06%	\$	153	\$	940,058	0.02%
7	Т	\$	2,549	0.42%	\$	1,033	\$	755,506	0.14%
8	V	\$	174	0.03%	\$	70	\$	17,957	0.39%
9	Total	\$	603,412	100.00%	\$	244,477	\$	39,758,220	0.61%

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Class Weighted Bad Debt Accounts Expense

#### Docket No. DE 19-064 Attachment MFB-6 Page 1 of 3

### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study

#### Summary of Marginal Administrative and General Expense - Marginal Loading Factors

#### **Regression Results** 1

### 2

24 25

26

27

28

30

II-429 29

043

#### Selected Model: Administrative and General Expense 2018\$ = F(Total O&M Expense Excl. A&G, Real 2018\$, Total Utility Plant, Trend, Dummy<sub>2002</sub>, 3 Dummy<sub>2009</sub>, Dummy<sub>2010-2011</sub>, Dummy<sub>2012</sub>, Dummy<sub>2013</sub>, Dummy<sub>2014</sub>)

5	Dependent Variable				
6	Total Administrative and General Expense 2018\$ (2001 - 2018	3)			
7			Coefficient		Significanc
	Explanatory Variables	Database variable name	value	t test	e
8	Constant	Constant	-1,034,594	-0.60	0.5677
9	Total O&M Expense Excl. A&G, Real 2018\$	OM_Real_xAG	0.0373	2.64	0.0297
0	Total Utility Plant	Util_Plt	0.0353	2.67	0.0282
1	Annual Trend	Trend	-370,053	-2.85	0.0216
2	Dummy: 2002	D_2002	1,196,806	2.49	0.0375
3	Dummy: 2009	D_2009	2,204,081	4.36	0.0024
4	Dummy: Years 2010 - 2011	D_2010_2011	3,813,212	7.52	0.000
5	Dummy: 2012	D_2012	10,311,674	13.58	0.000
6	Dummy: 2013	D_2013	8,505,148	11.87	0.000
7	Dummy: 2014	D_2014	2,939,619	6.08	0.000
8	Model Statistics	Model 1.0			
9	R_Squared	0.9880			
0	Adjusted R_Squared	0.9745			
1	Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	4.9504			
2	Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
23		•			

#### Marginal Cost Calculation

Total Administrative and General Expense = - \$ 1,034,594 + \$ 0.0373 x OM Real xAG + \$ 0.0353 x Util Plt + - \$ 370,053 x Trend + \$ 1,196,806 x D 2002 + \$ 2,204,081 x D 2009 + \$ 3,813,212 x D 2010 2011 + \$ 10,311,674 x D 2012 \$ 8,505,148 x D 2013 \$ 2,939,619 x D 2014

∂ Total Administrative and General Expense / ∂ O&M excl. A&G = \$ 0.0373 per \$ of O&M (2018\$)

 $\partial$  Total Administrative and General Expense /  $\partial$  Plant = 0.0353 per flant (2018)

# Summary of Marginal Materials and Supplies Expense - Marginal Loading Factors

1 Regression Results

2

II-430 044

# 3 Selected Model: Materials and Supplies 2018 = F(Total Electric Plant In Service , Dummy<sub>2009</sub>, Dummy<sub>2011</sub>)

4					
5	Dependent Variable				
6	Materials and Supplies Expense 2018\$ (2000 - 20	018)			
7		Database	Coefficient		Significanc
	Explanatory Variables	variable name	value	t test	e
8	Constant	Constant	-1,160,105	-3.01	0.0088
9	Total Electric Plant In Service	Util_Plt	0.0207	7.95	0.0000
10	Dummy: 2009	D_2009	9,187,554	15.49	0.0000
11	Dummy: 2011	D_2011	1,733,634	2.93	0.0104
12	Model Statistics	Model 1.0			
13	R_Squared	0.9510			
14	Adjusted R_Squared	0.9412			
15	Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	56.7788			
16	Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
17					
18					
19	Marginal Cost Calculation				
20	Materials and Supplies Expense = $-$ \$ 1,160,10	$05 + 0.0207 \text{ x Util_Plt} + 5$	\$ 9,187,554 x D	_2009 + \$	1,733,634 x
	D_2011				
21					
22	$\partial$ Materials and Supplies Expense / $\partial$ Plant =	\$ 0.0207 per \$ Plant (2018\$)	)		
	** *	•			

# Summary of Marginal General Plant - Marginal Loading Factors

Regression Results
 Selected Model: General Plant = F(Total Utility Plant, excluding General Plant, Dummy<sub>2006-2008</sub>, Dummy<sub>2009-2013</sub>)

5	Dependent Variable				
6	General Plant (2001 - 2018)				
7		Database variable	Coefficient		Significanc
	Explanatory Variables	name	value	t test	e
8	Constant	Constant	-3,063,081	-3.86	0.0017
9	Total Utility Plant, excluding General Plant	Util_Plt_xGen_Plt	0.1016	19.43	0.0000
10	Dummy: 2006 - 2008	D_2006_2008	-1,954,440	-2.96	0.0103
11	Dummy: 2009 - 2013	D_2009_2013	-3,724,921	-6.99	0.0000
12	Model Statistics	Model 2.0			
13	R_Squared	0.9732			
14	Adjusted R_Squared	0.9674			
15	Mean Absolute % Error (MAPE)	7.0003			
16	Passes ACF/PACF	Yes			
17					
18					
19	Marginal Cost Calculation				
20	General Plant = - \$ 3,063,081 + \$ 0.1016 x Util_P.	lt_xGen_Plt - \$ 1,954,440 :	x D_2006_2008	3 - \$3,724	,921 x
	D_2009_2013				
21					
22	$\partial$ General Plant / $\partial$ Plant excl. General Plant =  0.	1016 per \$ Plant			

		Engineer's	Economist's	
Line		Fixed Charge	Fixed charge	
No.	Description	Rate	Rate	Explanation
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1	Fixed Charge Rate Results		0	
2			Over	
2	Levelized Cost for:	12 (00)	Book Life	
3	Primary and Secondary Cap-related Dist.	13.69%		Att MFB-7 p3, Line 13
4	Line Transformers Investment	13.91%		Att MFB-7 p4, Line 13
5	Services Investment	13.24%		Att MFB-7 p5, Line 13
6	Meters Investment	15.30%		Att MFB-7 p6, Line 13
7	Street Lighting Investment	14.47%	11.97%	Att MFB-7 p7, Line 13
8	Cost of Conital			
	Cost of Capital	5.070/	45.000/	WDMED 7 Dece 1 Line 1
9	Debt	5.97%		WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 1
10	Preferred	0.00%		WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 2
11	Common	10.00%		WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 3
12	Other	0.00%		WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 4
13	Weighted Cost of Capital		8.19%	
14	After Tax Cost of New Capital		7.46%	WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 10
15	Incremental Tax Rate			WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 6
16	Tax Effected Cost of Capital			WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 5
17	Property Tax Rate			WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 9
18	Gross Receipts Tax Rate			WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 11
19	Inflation Rate			WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 12
20	Property Tax Escalation Rate			WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 13

Summary of Levelized Fixed Charge Rates

### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis, Input Data

Line		Primary and Secondary					
No.		Capacity - Related	Line			Street	
140.	Variable	Distribution Plant	Transformers	Services	Meters	Lighting	Explanation
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1	Plant Data	(B)	(C)	(D)	(L)	(1)	(0)
1	r failt Data						
2	Considerations of Const	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	
	Capitalized Cost		. ,		. ,		
3	Book Life	43	37	45	22		Att MFB-7 p13, Col (C)
4	Salvage Value	-39%	-30%	-75%	-10%		Att MFB-7 p13, Col (D)
5	MACRS Life	20	20	20	20	20	
	G						
6	Capital Structure						
_		45.000/	15 000/	15 000/	45 000/	45 000/	
7	Debt Ratio	45.00%	45.00%	45.00%	45.00%		Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 9
8	Preferred Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 10
9	Common Ratio	55.00%	55.00%	55.00%	55.00%		Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 11
10	Other	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 12
11	Cost of Capital						
12	Debt Cost	5.97%	5.97%	5.97%	5.97%	5.97%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (B), Line 9
13	Preferred Cost	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (B), Line 10
14	Common Cost	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (B), Line 11
15	Other	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		Att MFB-7 p1, Col (B), Line 12
16	Wtd Cost Of Capital	8.19%	8.19%	8.19%	8.19%		(Line 7 x Line 12) + (Line 8 x Line 13) +
10	wid Cost Of Capital	0.1970	0.1770	0.1770	0.1770	0.1970	(Line 9 x Line 12) + (Line 10 x Line 15) + (Line 10 x Line 15)
		- 1.00	= 4.607	- 1604	- 4604	- 4/0/	
17	After Tax Cost of	7.46%	7.46%	7.46%	7.46%	7.46%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 14
	Capital						
18	Tax Data						
19	Tax Rate	27.08%	27.08%	27.08%	27.08%		Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 15
20	ITC Rate	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 7
21	Revenue Tax Rate	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	WP MFB-7 Page 1, Line 8
22	Property Tax Rate	3.48%	3.48%	3.48%	3.48%	3.48%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 17
23	Property Insurance	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	WP MFB-7 Page 2, Line 3
24	Property Tax Basis	Dep Bal	Dep Bal	Dep Bal	Dep Bal	Dep Bal	
		î	-	<sup>2</sup>		-	
1							
25	Misc. Data						
26	Inflation Rate	1.90%	1.90%	1.90%	1.90%	1.90%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 19
27	Prop Tax Escalation	2.50%		2.50%	2.50%		Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 20
- '	Rate	2.5070	2.5070	2.5070	2.5070	2.5070	
28	Return on Rate Base	EOY	EOY	EOY	EOY	EOY	
20	calculation	LUI	LOI	LUI	LUI	LOI	
1	carculation						
1							
L	1						

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis

# Primary and Secondary Capacity Related Distribution

		Current Dollars		Constant Dollars		
		(Enginee		(Economi		
		Current	Percent Of	Constant	Percent Of	
Line		Levelized	Capital	Levelized	Capital	
No.	Item	Dollars	Investment	Dollars	Investment	
110.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
	(Л)	Att MFB-7	Col(B) x	Att MFB-7	Col(D) x	
		p8	0.001	p8	0.001	
1	Interest On Debt	۶۵ \$15.05	1.50%	۶۵ \$11.92	1.19%	
2	Return On Preferred	\$13.03	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%	
3						
3	Return On Common	\$30.81	3.08%	\$24.41	2.44%	
4	Return	\$45.86	4.59%	\$36.33	3.63%	
5	Depreciation	\$32.38	3.24%	\$25.65	2.57%	
6	Income Tax	\$8.70	0.87%	\$6.89	0.69%	
7	Deferred Taxes	\$2.74	0.27%	\$2.17	0.22%	
8	Income Tax	\$11.44	1.14%	\$9.06	0.91%	
9	Revenue Tax	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%	
10	Property Tax	\$41.59	4.16%	\$32.94	3.29%	
11	Property Insurance	\$5.62	0.56%	\$4.45	0.45%	
		-				
12	Other	\$47.21	4.72%	\$37.40	3.74%	
13	Total Revenue Required	\$136.89	13.69%	\$108.44	10.84%	

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Line Transformers Investment

		Current Dolla	rs (Engineer's	Constant	t Dollars
		FC	、 U	(Economi	
	<b>I</b>		,	,	,
		Current	Percent Of	Constant	Percent Of
Line	_	Levelized	Capital	Levelized	Capital
No.	Item	Dollars	Investment	Dollars	Investment
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
		Att MFB-7	Col (B) x	Att MFB-7	Col (D) x
		p9	0.001	p9	0.001
1	Interest On Debt	\$14.97	1.50%	\$12.07	1.21%
2	Return On Preferred	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
3	Return On Common	\$30.65	3.07%	\$24.71	2.47%
4	Return	\$45.63	4.56%	\$36.78	3.68%
5	Depreciation	\$35.14	3.51%	\$28.33	2.83%
	1				
6	Income Tax	\$9.02	0.90%	\$7.27	0.73%
7	Deferred Taxes	\$2.37	0.24%	\$1.91	0.19%
		<i> </i>		+	
8	Income Tax	\$11.38	1.14%	\$9.18	0.92%
Ŭ		¢11.50	1.1 1/0	ψιο	0.9270
9	Revenue Tax	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
10	Property Tax	\$41.33	4.13%	\$33.32	3.33%
11	Property Insurance	\$5.60	0.56%	\$4.52	0.45%
11		\$5.00	0.5070	φ <del>1</del> .J2	0.4370
12	Other	\$46.93	4.69%	\$37.84	3.78%
12		\$ <del>4</del> 0.93	4.09%	\$37.84	5./870
12	T-4-1 D D ' 1	¢120.00	12 010/	¢110.10	11 010/
13	Total Revenue Required	\$139.08	13.91%	\$112.12	11.21%

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Services Investment

		Current	Dollars	Constant	t Dollars
		(Enginee	er's FCR)	(Economi	st's FCR)
		Current	Percent Of	Constant	Percent Of
Line		Levelized	Capital	Levelized	Capital
No.	Item	Dollars	Investment	Dollars	Investment
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
		Att MFB-7	Col (B) x	Att MFB-7	Col (D) x
		p10	0.001	p10	0.001
1	Interest On Debt	\$13.29	1.33%	\$10.48	1.05%
2	Return On Preferred	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
3	Return On Common	\$27.21	2.72%	\$21.45	2.14%
4	Return	\$40.50	4.05%	\$31.92	3.19%
5	Depreciation	\$38.89	3.89%	\$30.65	3.07%
6	Income Tax	\$8.95	0.90%	\$7.06	0.71%
7	Deferred Taxes	\$1.15	0.12%	\$0.91	0.09%
8	Income Tax	\$10.10	1.01%	\$7.96	0.80%
9	Revenue Tax	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
10	Property Tax	\$37.80	3.78%	\$29.80	2.98%
11	Property Insurance	\$5.15	0.52%	\$4.06	0.41%
12	Other	\$42.95	4.30%	\$33.86	3.39%
13	Total Revenue Required	\$132.45	13.24%	\$104.39	10.44%

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Metering Equipment

		Current	Dollars	Constant	Dollars
			er's FCR)	(Economi	
		Current	Percent Of	Constant	Percent Of
Line		Levelized	Capital	Levelized	Capital
No.	Item	Dollars	Investment	Dollars	Investment
1101	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	(11)	Att MFB-7	Col (B) x	Att MFB-7	Col(D) x
		pl1	0.001	p11	0.001
1	Interest On Debt	\$15.02	1.50%	\$12.90	1.29%
2	Return On Preferred	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
3	Return On Common	\$0.00 \$30.74	3.07%	\$0.00 \$26.41	2.64%
5		φ50.71	5.0770	ψ20.11	2.0170
4	Return	\$45.76	4.58%	\$39.31	3.93%
		¢.e., o		<i>QQYQ</i>	
5	Depreciation	\$50.00	5.00%	\$42.96	4.30%
	1	•			
6	Income Tax	\$11.06	1.11%	\$9.50	0.95%
7	Deferred Taxes	\$0.36	0.04%	\$0.31	0.03%
8	Income Tax	\$11.42	1.14%	\$9.81	0.98%
9	Revenue Tax	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
10	Property Tax	\$40.31	4.03%	\$34.64	3.46%
11	Property Insurance	\$5.55	0.55%	\$4.76	0.48%
12	Other	\$45.86	4.59%	\$39.40	3.94%
13	Total Revenue Required	\$153.03	15.30%	\$131.49	13.15%

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. Marginal Cost Study Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Street Lighting Investment

		Current	Dollars	Constant	Dollars
		(Enginee		(Economi	
		Current	Percent Of	Constant	Percent Of
Line		Levelized	Capital	Levelized	Capital
No.	Item	Dollars	Investment	Dollars	Investment
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
		Att MFB-7	Col (B) x	Att MFB-7	Col (D) x
		p12	0.001	p12	0.001
1	Interest On Debt	\$15.62	1.56%	\$12.93	1.29%
2	Return On Preferred	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
3	Return On Common	\$31.99	3.20%	\$26.46	2.65%
4	Return	\$47.61	4.76%	\$39.39	3.94%
5	Depreciation	\$36.67	3.67%	\$30.33	3.03%
6	Income Tax	\$9.53	0.95%	\$7.88	0.79%
7	Deferred Taxes	\$2.35	0.24%	\$1.94	0.19%
8	Income Tax	\$11.88	1.19%	\$9.83	0.98%
		<b>*</b> • • • •		<b>†</b> 0.00	
9	Revenue Tax	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
10	Property Tax	\$42.70	4.27%	\$35.33	3.53%
11	Property Insurance	\$5.80	0.58%	\$4.80	0.48%
				<b>•</b> • • • • •	
12	Other	\$48.50	4.85%	\$40.12	4.01%
13	Total Davanua Daguirad	\$144.66	14.47%	\$119.67	11 070/
13	Total Revenue Required	\$144.00	14.4/%	\$119.07	11.97%

#### Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. - Development of Revenue Requirements Stream Primary and Secondary Capacity Related Distribution

	,	ium y cupu	ing rectated	1 Distributio	,									Annual	% of	Present
						Tax									Original	
Year	_	Interest On			Tax	Depreciation	Book	Deferred	Taxable	Income Tax			Property	Revenue	Investment	Worth Of
No.	Rate Base	Debt	Preferred	Common		Rate	Depreciation	Taxes	Income	Payable	Tax	Property Tax		Requirements	Revenue	Revenue
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)
	1000.00						0.00	0.00								
1	966.23	25.96	0.00		37.50	3.750%	32.38	1.39	67.76			34.84	5.02		17.11%	159.20
2	923.07	24.80	0.00		72.19	7.219%	32.38	10.78	29.81			35.71	5.11	167.62	16.76%	145.16
3 4	881.38 841.04	23.68 22.59	0.00			6.677% 6.177%	32.38 32.38	9.31 7.96	32.09 34.05			36.60 37.52	5.21 5.31	164.35 161.24	16.43% 16.12%	132.45 120.92
4 5	801.95	22.39	0.00		57.13	5.713%	32.38	6.70	34.03			37.32	5.41	158.28		120.92
6	764.03	20.53	0.00			5.285%	32.38	5.54	37.16			39.43	5.51	158.28		100.46
7	727.18	19.54	0.00	-		4.888%	32.38	4.47	38.35			40.40	5.62		15.28%	92.34
8	691.32	19.54	0.00		45.22	4.522%	32.38	3.48	39.30			41.41	5.73		15.02%	84.49
9	655.63	17.61	0.00			4.462%	32.38	3.31	37.21			42.45	5.83			77.32
10	619.94	16.65	0.00			4.461%	32.38	3.31	34.53			43.51	5.94		14.52%	70.74
11	584.24	15.70	0.00		44.62	4.462%	32.38	3.31	31.83			44.59	6.06		14.28%	64.72
12	548.55	14.74	0.00		44.61	4.461%	32.38		29.15			45.71	6.17		14.04%	59.21
13	512.85	13.78	0.00		44.62	4.462%	32.38	3.31	26.44			46.85	6.29		13.80%	54.16
14	477.16	12.82	0.00		44.61	4.461%	32.38	3.31	23.76			48.02	6.41	135.62	13.56%	49.54
15	441.46	11.86	0.00			4.462%	32.38	3.31	21.06			49.22	6.53		13.33%	45.31
16	405.77	10.90	0.00		44.61	4.461%	32.38	3.31	18.38			50.45	6.66		13.10%	41.44
17	370.08	9.94	0.00			4.462%	32.38	3.31	15.67			51.72			12.87%	37.89
18	334.38	8.98	0.00			4.461%	32.38	3.31	12.99			53.01	6.91	126.51	12.65%	34.65
19	298.69	8.02	0.00			4.462%	32.38	3.31	10.29			54.33	7.04		12.43%	31.69
20	263.00	7.07	0.00			4.461%	32.38	3.31	7.61	2.06		55,69	7.18		12.22%	28,98
21	233.34	6.27	0.00	12.83	22.31	2.231%	32.38	(2.73)	27.67	7.49	)	57.09	7.31	120.65	12.06%	26.63
22	209.73	5.63	0.00	11.54	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	48.20	13.05	;	58.51	7.45	119.80	11.98%	24.61
23	186.12	5.00	0.00		0.00		32.38	(8.77)	46.42			59.97	7.59		11.90%	22.75
24	162.50	4.37	0.00	8.94	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	44.64	12.09	)	61.47	7.74	118.21	11.82%	21.03
25	138.89	3.73	0.00	7.64	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	42.86	11.61		63.01	7.88	117.48	11.75%	19.45
26	115.28	3.10	0.00	6.34	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	41.08	11.12	1	64.59	8.03	116.79	11.68%	17.99
27	91.67	2.46	0.00	5.04	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	39.30	10.64	ŀ	66.20	8.19	116.15	11.61%	16.65
28	68.05	1.83	0.00	3.74	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	37.51	10.16	5	67.86	8.34	115.54	11.55%	15.41
29	44.44	1.19	0.00	2.44	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	35.73	9.68	3	69.55	8.50	114.98	11.50%	14.28
30	20.83	0.56	0.00	1.15	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	33.95	9.19	)	71.29	8.66	114.47	11.45%	13.22
31	(2.78)	(0.07)	0.00	(0.15)	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	32.17	8.71		0.00	0.00	32.10	3.21%	3.45
32	(26.39)	(0.71)	0.00	(1.45)	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	30.39	8.23		0.00	0.00	29.68	2.97%	2.97
33	(50.01)	(1.34)	0.00	(2.75)	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	28.61	7.75	i	0.00	0.00	27.27	2.73%	2.54
34	(73.62)	(1.98)	0.00	(4.05)	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	26.83			0.00	0.00	24.85	2.49%	2.15
35	(97.23)	(2.61)	0.00		0.00		32.38	(8.77)	25.05			0.00	0.00	22.44		1.81
36	(120.84)	(3.25)	0.00		0.00		32.38	(8.77)	23.27			0.00	0.00			1.50
37	(144.46)	(3.88)	0.00		0.00		32.38	(8.77)	21.49			0.00	0.00			1.23
38	(168.07)	(4.52)	0.00	· · ·	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	19.70			0.00	0.00			0.99
39	(191.68)	(5.15)	0.00				32.38	(8.77)	17.92			0.00	0.00			0.77
40	(215.29)	(5.78)	0.00		0.00		32.38	(8.77)	16.14	4.37		0.00	0.00			0.58
41	(238.91)	(6.42)	0.00	( - )	0.00		32.38	(8.77)	14.36			0.00	0.00	7.94		0.42
42	(262.52)	(7.05)	0.00		0.00		32.38	(8.77)	12.58			0.00	0.00			0.27
43	(392.39)	(10.54)	0.00		392.39		32.38	97.49	(389.60)			0.00	0.00		-0.78%	(0.35)
Total		\$ 306.12	s -	\$ 626.70	\$ 1,392.39		\$ 1,392.39	\$ 0.00	\$ 859.44	\$ 232.74	s -	\$ 1,529.45	\$ 200.43	\$ 4,287.83	428.78%	\$ 1,751.97
Present		\$ 192.60	s -	\$ 394.31	\$ 543.95		\$ 414.44	\$ 35.07	\$ 411.23	\$ 111.36	s -	\$ 532.26	\$ 71.93	\$ 1,751.97	175.20%	\$ 984.30
Levelize		\$ 15.05	s -	\$ 30.81	\$ 42.50		\$ 32.38	\$ 2.74	\$ 32.13	\$ 8.70	\$ -	\$ 41.59	\$ 5.62	\$ 136.89	13.69%	
	t Current \$	e 11.c=	<u>_</u>	e	a 22.7-		e	e	e				e		10.0454	
Levelize		\$ 11.92	s -	\$ 24.41	\$ 33.67		\$ 25.65	\$ 2.17	\$ 25.45	\$ 6.89	\$ -	\$ 32.94	\$ 4.45	\$ 108.44	10.84%	
2aymen	t Constant										1			1		

Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. - Development of Revenue Requirements Stream Line Transformers Investment

		s investmen			-								-	Annual	% of	Present
						Tax									Original	
Year			Return On	Return On	Tax	Depreciation	Book	Deferred	Taxable	Income Tax			Property	Revenue	Investment	Worth Of
No.	Rate Base	Debt	Preferred		Depreciation	Rate	Depreciation	Taxes	Income	Payable	Tax	Property Tax	Insurance	Requirements	Revenue	Revenue
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)
	1000.00						0.00	0.00								1
1	964.22	25.90	0.00	53.03	37.50	3.750%	35.14	0.64	70.36			34.84	5.02	173.62	17.36%	161.57
2	919.05	24.69	0.00	50.55	72.19	7.219%	35.14	10.03	32.26			35.71	5.11	169.97	17.00%	147.19
3	875.35	23.52	0.00	48.14	66.77	6.677%	35.14	8.57	34.39			36.60	5.21	166.49	16.65%	134.17
4	833.01	22.38	0.00	45.82	61.77	6.177%	35.14	7.21	36.19			37.52	5.31	163.17	16.32%	122.37
5	791.91	21.27	0.00	43.56	57.13	5.713%	35.14	5.96	37.74			38.45	5.41	160.00	16.00%	111.67
6	751.98	20.20	0.00	41.36	52.85	5.285%	35.14	4.80	39.00			39.42	5.51	156.98	15.70%	101.95
7	713.12	19.16	0.00	39.22	48.88	4.888%	35.14	3.72	40.04			40.40	5.62	154.10	15.41%	93.13
8	675.26	18.14	0.00	37.14	45.22	4.522%	35.14	2.73	40.85			41.41	5.73	151.34	15.13%	85.12
10	637.55 599.85	17.13 16.12	0.00	35.07 32.99	44.62 44.61	4.462% 4.461%	35.14 35.14	2.57 2.57	38.60 35.77			42.45 43.51	5.83 5.94	148.63 145.95	14.86% 14.59%	77.79 71.08
10	562.15	15.10	0.00	32.99	44.61	4.461%	35.14	2.57	32.92			43.31	6.06	143.93	14.39%	64.94
11	524.45	13.10	0.00	28.84	44.62	4.462%	35.14	2.57	32.92			44.39	6.17	143.29	14.33%	59.33
12	486.75	14.09	0.00	26.84	44.61	4.461%	35.14	2.57	27.23			45.71 46.85	6.29	140.00	14.07%	54.19
13	480.73	13.08	0.00	20.77	44.62	4.462%	35.14	2.57	27.23			48.02	6.41	138.07		49.49
15	411.34	11.05	0.00	24.70	44.62	4.462%	35.14	2.57	24.55			49.22	6.53	133.30	13.30%	45.20
16	373.64	10.04	0.00	22.02	44.61	4.461%	35.14	2.57	18.71			50.45	6.66	132.97	13.05%	43.20
17	335.94	9.02	0.00	18.48	44.62	4.462%	35.14	2.57	15.85			51.72	6.78	128.00	12.80%	37.68
18	298.24	8.01	0.00	16.40	44.61	4.461%	35.14	2.57	13.02			53.01	6.91	125.56	12.56%	34.39
19	260.53	7.00	0.00	14.33	44.62	4.462%	35.14	2.57	10.17			54.33	7.04	123.16	12.30%	31.40
20	222.83	5.99	0.00	12.26	44.61	4.461%	35.14	2.57	7.33			55.69	7.18	120.80	12.08%	28.66
21	191.17	5.14	0.00	10.51	22.31	2.231%	35.14	(3.47)	27.24			57.09	7.31	119.09	11.91%	26.29
22	165.55	4.45	0.00	9.11	0.00	2.25170	35.14	(9.51)	47.62			58.51	7.45	118.03	11.80%	24.25
23	139.93	3.76	0.00	7.70	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	45.69			59.97	7.59	117.02	11.70%	22.37
24	114.31	3.07	0.00	6.29	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	43.76			61.47	7.74	116.04	11.60%	20.64
25	88.69	2.38	0.00	4.88	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	41.82			63.01	7.88	115.10	11.51%	19.06
26	63.07	1.69	0.00	3.47	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	39.89			64.59	8.03	114.21	11.42%	17.59
27	37.45	1.01	0.00	2.06	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	37.96	5 10.28		66.20	8.19	113.35	11.34%	16.25
28	11.82	0.32	0.00	0.65	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	36.03	9.76		67.86	8.34	112.54	11.25%	15.01
29	(13.80)	(0.37)	0.00	(0.76)	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	34.09	9.23		0.00	0.00	33.72	3.37%	4.19
30	(39.42)	(1.06)	0.00	(2.17)	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	32.16	8.71		0.00	0.00	31.10	3.11%	3.59
31	(65.04)	(1.75)	0.00	(3.58)	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	30.23	8.19		0.00	0.00	28.48	2.85%	3.06
32	(90.66)	(2.44)	0.00	(4.99)	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	28.30	7.66		0.00	0.00	25.86	2.59%	2.59
33	(116.28)	(3.12)	0.00	(6.40)	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	26.36	7.14		0.00	0.00	23.24	2.32%	2.16
34	(141.90)	(3.81)	0.00	(7.80)	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	24.43	6.62		0.00	0.00	20.62	2.06%	1.79
35	(167.52)	(4.50)	0.00	(9.21)	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	22.50	6.09		0.00	0.00	18.00	1.80%	1.45
36	(193.14)	(5.19)	0.00	(10.62)	0.00		35.14	(9.51)	20.57			0.00	0.00	15.38	-	1.15
37	(300.00)	(8.06)	0.00	(16.50)	300.00		35.14	71.73	(287.49)			0.00	0.00	4.45	0.44%	0.31
Total		\$ 305.47	\$ -	\$ 625.38	\$ 1,300.00		\$ 1,300.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 857.62	\$ 232.24	\$ -	\$ 1,388.61	\$ 183.27	\$ 4,034.96	403.50%	\$ 1,734.34
Present		\$ 186.72	\$ -	\$ 382.26	\$ 547.10		\$ 438.15	\$ 29.50	\$ 415.27	\$ 112.45	\$ -	\$ 515.39	\$ 69.87	\$ 1,734.34	173.43%	\$ 989.92
Leveliz																1 1
~	nt Current	\$ 14.97	\$ -	\$ 30.65	\$ 43.87		\$ 35.14	\$ 2.37	\$ 33.30	\$ 9.02	\$ -	\$ 41.33	\$ 5.60	\$ 139.08	13.91%	1 1
Leveliz		\$ 12.07	\$ -	\$ 24.71	\$ 35.37		\$ 28.33	\$ 1.91	\$ 26.85	\$ 7.27	\$ -	\$ 33.32	\$ 4.52	\$ 112.12	11.21%	1 1
Paymer	nt Constant															1

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. - Development of Revenue Requirements Stream Services Investment

														Annual	% of	Present
						Tax									Original	
Year		Interest On	Return On	Return On	Tax	Depreciation	Book	Deferred	Taxable	Income Tax	Revenue		Property	Revenue	Investment	Worth Of
No.	Rate Base	Debt	Preferred	Common	Depreciation	Rate	Depreciation	Taxes	Income	Payable	Tax	Property Tax	Insurance	Requirements	Revenue	Revenue
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(0)	(P)
	1000.00						0.00	0.00								
1	961.49	25.83	0.00	52.88	37.50	3.750%	38.89	(0.38)	73.91	20.01		34.84	5.02	177.10		164.8
2	913.58	24.54	0.00	50.25	72.19	7.219%	38.89	9.02	35.61	9.64		35.71	5.11	173.16	17.32%	149.9
3	867.14	23.30	0.00	47.69	66.77	6.677%	38.89	7.55	37.52	10.16		36.60	5.21	169.40	16.94%	136.5
4	822.06	22.08	0.00	45.21	61.77	6.177%	38.89	6.20	39.12	10.59		37.52	5.31	165.80	16.58%	124.3
5	778.23	20.91	0.00	42.80	57.13	5.713%	38.89	4.94	40.46	10.96		38.45	5.41	162.36	16.24%	113.3
6	735.56	19.76	0.00	40.46	52.85	5.285%	38.89	3.78	41.52	11.24		39.42	5.51	159.06	15.91%	103.3
7	693.96	18.64	0.00	38.17	48.88	4.888%	38.89	2.71	42.35	11.47		40.40	5.62	155.89	15.59%	94.2
8	653.36	17.55	0.00	35.93	45.22	4.522%	38.89	1.71	42.95	11.63		41.41	5.73	152.86	15.29%	85.9
9	612.92	16.47	0.00	33.71	44.62	4.462%	38.89	1.55	40.50	10.97		42.45	5.83	149.86		78.4
10	572.48 532.04	15.38	0.00	31.49 29.26	44.61 44.62	4.461% 4.462%	38.89 38.89	1.55	37.46	10.14 9.32		43.51 44.59	5.94	146.90 143.96	14.69% 14.40%	71.5
11	491.60	14.29	0.00	29.26	44.62	4.462%	38.89	1.55	34.40	9.32		44.39	6.06	143.96	14.40%	63.2 59.5
12	491.00	13.21	0.00	27.04	44.61	4.461%	38.89	1.55	28.30	7.66		46.85	6.29	138.18	13.82%	54.2
13	410.72	12.12	0.00	24.81	44.62	4.461%	38.89	1.55	28.30	6.84		40.85	6.41	135.34	13.53%	49.4
15	370.28	9.95	0.00	20.37	44.62	4.462%	38.89	1.55	22.20	6.01		49.22	6.53	132.52	13.25%	45.0
16	329.84	8.86	0.00	18.14	44.61	4.461%	38.89	1.55	19.16	5.19		50.45	6.66	132.32	12.97%	41.0
17	289.40	7.77	0.00	15.92	44.62	4.462%	38.89	1.55	16.10	4.36		51.72	6.78	126.99	12.70%	37.
18	248.97	6.69	0.00	13.69	44.61	4.461%	38.89	1.55	13.06	3.54		53.01	6.91	124.28	12.43%	34.0
19	208.52	5.60	0.00	11.47	44.62	4.462%	38.89	1.55	10.00	2.71		54.33	7.04	121.60	12.16%	31.0
20	168.09	4.52	0.00	9.24	44.61	4.461%	38.89	1.55	6.96	1.88		55.69	7.18	118.95	11.90%	28.2
21	133.69	3.59	0.00	7.35	22.31	2.231%	38.89	(4.49)	26.66	7.22		57.09	7.31	116.96	11.70%	25.8
22	105.33	2.83	0.00	5.79	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	46.83	12.68		58.51	7.45	115.63	11.56%	23.7
23	76.97	2.07	0.00	4.23	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	44.69	12.10		59.97	7.59	114.33	11.43%	21.
24	48.61	1.31	0.00	2.67	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	42.56	11.52		61.47	7.74	113.07	11.31%	20.
25	20.26	0.54	0.00	1.11	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	40.42	10.94		63.01	7.88	111.86	11.19%	18.
26	(8.10)	(0.22)	0.00	(0.45)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	38.28	10.37		0.00	0.00	38.06	3.81%	5.
27	(36.46)	(0.98)	0.00	(2.01)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	36.14	9.79		0.00	0.00	35.16	3.52%	5.0
28	(64.82)	(1.74)	0.00	(3.56)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	34.00	9.21		0.00	0.00	32.26	3.23%	4.3
29	(93.18)	(2.50)	0.00	(5.12)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	31.86	8.63		0.00	0.00	29.36	2.94%	3.0
30	(121.53)	(3.26)	0.00	(6.68)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	29.72	8.05		0.00	0.00	26.46	2.65%	3.0
31	(149.89)	(4.03)	0.00	(8.24)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	27.58	7.47		0.00	0.00	23.56	2.36%	2.5
32	(178.25)	(4.79)	0.00	(9.80)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	25.44	6.89		0.00	0.00	20.66	2.07%	2.0
33	(206.61)	(5.55)	0.00	(11.36)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	23.31	6.31		0.00	0.00	17.76	1.78%	1.0
34	(234.96)	(6.31)	0.00	(12.92)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	21.17	5.73		0.00	0.00	14.85	1.49%	1.2
35	(263.32)	(7.07)	0.00	(14.48)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	19.03	5.15		0.00	0.00	11.95	1.20%	0.9
36	(291.68)	(7.84)	0.00	(16.04)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	16.89	4.57		0.00	0.00	9.05	0.91%	0.6
37 38	(320.04)	(8.60)	0.00	(17.60)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	14.75	3.99		0.00	0.00	6.15	0.62%	0.4
38 39	(348.40) (376.75)	(9.36) (10.12)	0.00 0.00	(19.16) (20.72)	0.00 0.00		38.89 38.89	(10.53) (10.53)	12.61 10.47	3.42 2.84		0.00 0.00	0.00	3.25 0.35	0.33%	0.2
39 40	(405.11)	(10.12)	0.00	(20.72) (22.28)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	8.33	2.84		0.00	0.00	(2.55)	-0.25%	(0.1
40	(403.11) (433.47)	(11.65)	0.00	(22.28) (23.84)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	6.19	2.20		0.00	0.00	(2.33)	-0.25%	(0.1)
42	(453.47)	(11.03)	0.00	(25.40)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	4.06	1.08		0.00	0.00	(8.35)	-0.33%	(0.2)
42	(401.83)	(12.41) (13.17)	0.00	(25.40) (26.96)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	4.06	0.52		0.00	0.00	(11.25)	-0.84%	(0.4
43	(518.54)	(13.17)	0.00	(28.52)	0.00		38.89	(10.53)	(0.22)	(0.06)		0.00	0.00	(11.25)	-1.13%	(0.5
45	(750.00)	(20.15)	0.00	(41.25)	750.00		38.89	192.57	(767.68)	(207.89)		0.00	0.00	(37.83)	-3.78%	(1.4
Total	(150.00)	\$ 154.29	\$ -	\$ 315.87	\$ 1,750.00			\$ 0.00	\$ 433.18	\$ 117.30	s -	\$ 1,189.97	\$ 158.70	\$ 3,686.14	368.61%	\$ 1,705.9
	Worth	\$ 171.18	\$ -	\$ 350.45	\$ 555.61			\$ 14.82	\$ 425.89	\$ 115.33	s -	\$ 486.89	\$ 66.35	\$ 1,705.91	170.59%	\$ 996.2
.eveliz		\$ 13.29	s -	\$ 27.21	\$ 43.14			\$ 1.15	\$ 33.07	\$ 8.95	s -	\$ 37.80	\$ 5.15	\$ 132.45	13.24%	φ 990.2
	nt Current		*	- 27.21	- 10.14		2 50.07			- 0.75		\$ 57100	- 5.15		15.2770	
.eveliz		\$ 10.48	s -	\$ 21.45	\$ 34.00		\$ 30.65	\$ 0.91	\$ 26.06	\$ 7.06	s -	\$ 29.80	\$ 4.06	\$ 104.39	10.44%	
	nt Constant															

# Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. - Development of Revenue Requirements Stream Metering Equipment

	ng Equipinei													Annual	% of	Present
						Tax									Original	
Year		Interest On			Tax	Depreciation	Book	Deferred	Taxable	Income Tax	Revenue		Property	Revenue	Investment	Worth Of
No.	Rate Base	Debt	Preferred	Common	Depreciation		Depreciation	Tax	Income	Payable	Tax	Property Tax	Insurance	Requirements	Revenue	Revenue
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)
	1000.00						0.00	0.00								
1	953.39	25.61	0.00	52.44	37.50		50.00	(3.39)	84.41	22.86		34.84	5.02	187.38	18.74%	174.37
2	897.38	24.11	0.00	49.36	72.19	7.219%	50.00	6.01	45.49	12.32		35.71	5.11	182.61	18.26%	158.14
3	842.83	22.64	0.00	46.36	66.77	6.677%	50.00	4.54	46.80	12.67		36.60	5.21	178.03	17.80%	143.47
4	789.65	21.21	0.00	43.43	61.77	6.177%	50.00	3.19	47.79	12.94		37.52		173.60	17.36%	130.19
5	737.72	19.82	0.00	40.57	57.13	5.713%	50.00	1.93	48.51	13.14		38.45	5.41	169.33	16.93%	118.17
6	686.94	18.45	0.00	37.78	52.85	5.285%	50.00	0.77	48.96	13.26		39.42	5.51	165.20	16.52%	107.29
7	637.25	17.12	0.00	35.05	48.88	4.888%	50.00	(0.30)	49.18	13.32		40.40	5.62	161.20	16.12%	97.43
8	588.54	15.81	0.00	32.37	45.22	4.522%	50.00	(1.29)	49.17	13.32		41.41	5.73	157.34	15.73%	88.49
9	540.00	14.51	0.00	29.70	44.62	4.462%	50.00	(1.46)	46.11	12.49		42.45	5.83	153.52	15.35%	80.35
10	491.46	13.20	0.00	27.03	44.61	4.461%	50.00	(1.46)	42.46	11.50		43.51	5.94	149.72	14.97%	72.92
11	442.92	11.90	0.00	24.36	44.62	4.462%	50.00	(1.46)	38.79	10.50		44.59	6.06	145.96	14.60%	66.15
12	394.38	10.59	0.00	21.69	44.61	4.461%	50.00	(1.46)	35.14	9.51		45.71	6.17	142.22	14.22%	59.99
13	345.83	9.29	0.00	19.02	44.62	4.462%	50.00	(1.46)	31.46	8.52		46.85	6.29	138.52	13.85%	54.37
14	297.29	7.99	0.00	16.35	44.61	4.461%	50.00	(1.46)	27.81	7.53		48.02	6.41	134.84	13.48%	49.25
15	248.75	6.68	0.00	13.68	44.62	4.462%	50.00	(1.46)	24.14	6.54		49.22	6.53	131.20	13.12%	44.60
16	200.21	5.38	0.00	11.01	44.61	4.461%	50.00	(1.46)	20.49	5.55		50.45	6.66	127.59	12.76%	40.36
17	151.67	4.07	0.00	8.34	44.62	4.462%	50.00	(1.46)	16.82	4.55		51.72	6.78	124.01	12.40%	36.50
18	103.13	2.77	0.00	5.67	44.61	4.461%	50.00	(1.46)	13.17	3.57		53.01	6.91	120.47	12.05%	33.00
19	54.58	1.47	0.00	3.00	44.62	4.462%	50.00	(1.46)	9.50	2.57		54.33	7.04	116.96	11.70%	29.81
20	6.04	0.16	0.00	0.33	44.61	4.461%	50.00	(1.46)	5.85	1.58		55.69	7.18	113.49	11.35%	26.92
21	(36.46)	(0.98)	0.00	(2.01)	22.31	2.231%	50.00	(7.50)	24.94	6.75		0.00	0.00	46.27	4.63%	10.21
22	(100.00)	(2.69)	0.00	()	100.00		50.00	13.54	(57.54)	(15.58)		0.00	0.00	39.77	3.98%	8.17
Total		\$ 249.13	\$ -	\$ 510.04	\$ 1,100.00		\$ 1,100.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 699.45	\$ 189.41	\$ -	\$ 889.91	\$120.73	\$ 3,059.22	305.92%	\$ 1,630.15
Present	Worth	\$ 159.95	\$-	\$ 327.46	\$ 546.70		\$ 532.63	\$ 3.81	\$ 435.00	\$ 117.80	\$ -	\$ 429.44	\$ 59.07	\$ 1,630.15	163.02%	\$ 1,011.08
Leveliz	ed Payment	\$ 15.02	\$-	\$ 30.74	\$ 51.32		\$ 50.00	\$ 0.36	\$ 40.84	\$ 11.06	\$ -	\$ 40.31	\$ 5.55	\$ 153.03	15.30%	
Current	\$															
Leveliz	ed Payment	\$ 12.90	\$-	\$ 26.41	\$ 44.10		\$ 42.96	\$ 0.31	\$ 35.09	\$ 9.50	\$ -	\$ 34.64	\$ 4.76	\$ 131.49	13.15%	
Constar	nt \$															

Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. - Development of Revenue Requirements Stream Street Lighting Investment

	8 8	estiment												Annual	% of	Present
						Tax									Original	
Year		Interest On	Return On	Return On	Tax	Depreciation	Book	Deferred	Taxable	Income Tax	Revenue		Property	Revenue	Investment	Worth Of
No.	Rate Base	Debt	Preferred		Depreciation		Depreciation	Tax	Income	Payable	Tax			Requirements		Revenue
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)
	1000.00						0.00	0.00								
1	963.11	25.87	0.00	52.97	37.50	3.750%	36.67	0.23	71.81	19.45		34.84	5.02		17.50%	162.89
2	916.82	24.63	0.00	50.43	72.19	7.219%	36.67	9.62	33.63	9.11		35.71	5.11	171.27	17.13%	148.32
3	872.00	23.43	0.00	47.96	66.77	6.677%	36.67	8.15	35.67	9.66		36.60	5.21	167.68	16.77%	135.13
4	828.54	22.26	0.00	45.57	61.77	6.177%	36.67	6.80	37.39	10.13		37.52	5.31	164.24	16.42%	123.17
5	786.33	21.12	0.00	43.25	57.13		36.67	5.54	38.85	10.52		38.45	5.41	160.97	16.10%	112.34
6	745.28	20.02	0.00	40.99	52.85	5.285%	36.67	4.38	40.03	10.84		39.42	5.51	157.83	15.78%	102.50
7	705.31	18.95	0.00	38.79	48.88	4.888%	36.67	3.31	40.98	11.10		40.40	5.62	154.83	15.48%	93.58
8	666.32	17.90	0.00	36.65	45.22	4.522%	36.67	2.32	41.70	11.29		41.41	5.73		15.20%	85.47
9	627.50	16.86	0.00	34.51	44.62	4.462%	36.67	2.15	39.38	10.66		42.45	5.83		14.91%	78.05
10	588.69	15.82	0.00	32.38	44.61	4.461%	36.67	2.15	36.46	9.87		43.51	5.94	146.34	14.63%	71.27
11	549.87	14.77	0.00	30.24	44.62	4.462%	36.67	2.15	33.52	9.08		44.59	6.06	143.56	14.36%	65.07
12	511.05	13.73	0.00	28.11	44.61	4.461%	36.67	2.15	30.60	8.29		45.71	6.17		14.08%	59.40
13	472.23	12.69	0.00	25.97	44.62	4.462%	36.67	2.15	27.66	7.49		46.85	6.29		13.81%	54.21
14	433.41	11.64	0.00	23.84	44.61	4.461%	36.67	2.15	24.75	6.70		48.02	6.41	135.43	13.54%	49.47
15	394.59	10.60	0.00	21.70	44.62	4.462%	36.67	2.15	21.81	5.91		49.22	6.53		13.28%	45.13
16	355.77	9.56	0.00	19.57	44.61	4.461%	36.67	2.15	18.89	5.12		50.45	6.66		13.02%	41.17
17	316.95	8.51	0.00	17.43	44.62	4.462%	36.67	2.15	15.95	4.32		51.72	6.78		12.76%	37.56
18	278.13	7.47	0.00	15.30	44.61	4.461%	36.67	2.15	13.03	3.53		53.01	6.91	125.04	12.50%	34.25
19	239.31	6.43	0.00	13.16	44.62	4.462%	36.67	2.15	10.10			54.33	7.04	122.52	12.25%	31.23
20	200.49	5.39	0.00	11.03	44.61	4.461%	36.67	2.15	7.18	1.94		55.69	7.18	120.04	12.00%	28.48
21	167.72	4.51	0.00	9.22	22.31	2.231%	36.67	(3.89)	27.01	7.31		57.09	7.31	118.22	11.82%	26.10
22	140.98	3.79	0.00	7.75	0.00		36.67	(9.93)	47.30			58.51	7.45		11.71%	24.05
23	114.24	3.07	0.00	6.28	0.00		36.67	(9.93)	45.28	12.26		59.97	7.59		11.59%	22.16
24	87.50	2.35	0.00	4.81	0.00		36.67	(9.93)	43.27	11.72		61.47	7.74	114.83	11.48%	20.43
25	60.77	1.63	0.00	3.34	0.00		36.67	(9.93)	41.25	11.17		63.01	7.88		11.38%	18.84
26	34.03	0.91	0.00	1.87	0.00		36.67	(9.93)	39.23	10.62		64.59	8.03		11.28%	17.37
27	7.29	0.20	0.00	0.40	0.00		36.67	(9.93)	37.22	10.08		66.20	8.19		11.18%	16.03
28	(19.45)	(0.52)	0.00	(1.07)	0.00		36.67	(9.93)	35.20	9.53		0.00	0.00		3.47%	4.63
29	(46.18)	(1.24)	0.00	( - )	0.00		36.67	(9.93)	33.18	8.99		0.00	0.00			3.97
30	(100.00)	(2.69)	0.00	()	100.00		36.67	17.15	(70.88)	(19.19)		0.00	0.00	-	2.64%	3.05
Total		\$ 319.66	\$-	\$ 654.42	\$ 1,100.00		\$ 1,100.00	\$ (0.00)	\$ 897.45	\$ 243.03	\$ -	\$ 1,320.75	\$ 174.92	\$ 3,812.79	381.28%	\$ 1,715.29
	Worth	\$ 185.27	\$ -	\$ 379.29	\$ 537.71		\$ 434.78	\$ 27.87	\$ 417.22	\$ 112.98	\$ -	\$ 506.33	\$ 68.76	\$ 1,715.29	171.53%	\$ 991.98
	ed Payment	\$ 15.62	\$ -	\$ 31.99	\$ 45.35		\$ 36.67	\$ 2.35	\$ 35.19	\$ 9.53	\$ -	\$ 42.70	\$ 5.80	\$ 144.66	14.47%	
Current	-															1
	ed Payment	\$ 12.93	\$ -	\$ 26.46	\$ 37.51		\$ 30.33	\$ 1.94	\$ 29.11	\$ 7.88	\$ -	\$ 35.33	\$ 4.80	\$ 119.67	11.97%	1
Constar	nt \$															

Development of Weighted Plant Book Lives and Salvage

<b>.</b> .	Č Č		2018	Average	Net
Line	Description		Plant	Service	Salvage
No.			Balance	Life	Value
	(A)		(B)	(C)	(D)
		Сс	ompany Data	Company Data	Company Data
1	Distribution Investment - Primary and Secondary Capacity F	Rela	ited		
2		¢	1 (72 047	0	00/
2	360 Land and Land Rights	\$	1,672,947	0	0%
3	361 Structures and Improvements	\$	1,965,160	44	-5%
4	362 Station Equipment	\$	30,756,049	40	
5	363 Storage Battery Equipment		\$0	0	0%
6	364 Poles, Towers and Fixtures	\$	41,667,046	44	-60%
7	365 Overhead Conductors and Devices	\$	65,174,236	43	-40%
8	366 Underground Conduit	\$	6,948,378	56	-10%
9	367 Underground Conductors and Devices	\$	17,274,059	46	-40%
10	Total Distribution Primary and Secondary Capacity-Related	•1	\$165,457,875	43	-39%
11	368 Line Transformers			37	-30%
12	369 Services			45	-75%
13	370 Meters			22	-10%
14	373 Street Lighting and signal systems			30	-10%

#### Summary of Marginal Capacity Costs

Line		Primary -	_	Secondary -		Transformers -	_
No.	701	Peak Demand	Source	Peak Demand	Source	Peak Demand	Source
2	Plant Investment Marginal Distribution Capacity Costs	\$115,690	Att MFB-1 p1, Line 22	\$82,116	Att MFB-1 p2, Line 22	\$84,022	Att MFB-1 p3, Line 23
3	Marginal General Plant Loading Factor	10.16%	Att MFB-1 p1, Line 22 Att MFB-6 p3, Line 22	10.16%	1 /	10.16%	Att MFB-1 p3, Line 25 Att MFB-6 p3, Line 22
4	Marginal General Flant Loading Factor	10.1076	Au MI'B-0 p3, Line 22	10.1076	Au MIB-0 p3, Line 22	10.1076	Att MI-B-0 p3, Line 22
5	Total Marginal Plant Investment	\$127,439	Line 2 x $(1 + \text{Line } 3)$	\$90,456	Line $2 \times (1 + \text{Line } 3)$	\$92,555	Line $2 \times (1 + \text{Line } 3)$
6	8	<i><i><i>v</i>,,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i>,<i>v</i></i></i>					)
7	Fixed Carrying Charge Rate	10.84%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 3	10.84%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 3	11.21%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 4
8							
9	Levelized, Annualized Cost of Marginal Plant Investment	\$13,819	Line 5 x Line 7	\$9,809	Line 5 x Line 7	\$10,377	Line 5 x Line 7
10							
11	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	¢25.025		62.410		61.450	
12	Marginal Operating Expense	\$35,927	Att MFB-4 p1, Line 24		Att MFB-4 p2, Line 25	\$1,458	
13 14	Marginal Maintenance Expense	\$16,349	Att MFB-4 p4, Line 24	\$9,625	Att MFB-4 p5, Line 23	\$2,846	Att MFB-4 p6, Line 22
15	Total Marginal O&M Expense	\$52,276	Line 12 + Line 13	\$13.035	Line 12 + Line 13	\$4,305	Line 12 + Line 13
16	Total Marginal Octor Expense	\$52,270	Ente 12 · Ente 15	\$15,055	Enice 12 · Enice 15	\$4,505	Line 12 · Line 15
17	Administrative and General Expenses						
18	Marginal Plant related A&G per \$ of Marginal Plant Investment	3.53%	Att MFB-6 p1, Line 30	3.53%	Att MFB-6 p1, Line 30	3.53%	Att MFB-6 p1, Line 30
19	Plant related A&G Expense	\$4,505	Line 5 x Line 18	\$3,198	Line 5 x Line 18	\$3,272	Line 5 x Line 18
20	*						
21	Marginal Non-Plant related A&G per \$ of Marginal O&M Expense	3.73%	Att MFB-6 p1, Line 28	3.73%		3.73%	
22	Non-Plant related A&G Expense	\$1,947	Line 15 x Line 21	\$486	Line 15 x Line 21	\$160	Line 15 x Line 21
23							
24	Total A&G Expense	\$6,452	Line 19 + Line 22	\$3,683	Line 19 + Line 22	\$3,432	Line 19 + Line 22
25							
26 27	Marginal Working Capital Calculations Marginal M&S and Prepayments per \$ of Marginal Plant Investment	2.07%	Att MFB-6 p2, Line 22	2.07%	Att MFB-6 p2, Line 22	2.07%	Att MFB-6 p2, Line 22
27	Marginal Mass and Prepayments per \$ of Marginal Plant Investment M&S Cost	\$2.642	Line 5 x Line 27	\$1,875	1 /	\$1.919	Line 5 x Line 27
28	Mascost	\$2,042	Life 5 X Life 27	\$1,675	Line 5 x Line 27	\$1,919	Line 5 x Line 27
30	Cash Working Capital Allowance Rate	6.99%	25.5 Days / 365	6.99%	25.5 Days / 365	6.99%	25.5 Days / 365
31	Working Cash O&M Allowance	\$3,654	Line 15 x Line 30	\$911	5	\$301	Line 15 x Line 30
	-		(Line 28 + Line 31) x Tax Effected Cost		(Line 28 + Line 31) x Tax Effected Cost		(Line 28 + Line 31) x Tax Effected Cost
			of Capital, Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line		of Capital, Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line		of Capital, Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line
32	Revenue Requirement for Working Capital	\$644	16	\$285	16	\$227	16
33							
	Total Marginal Cost per MW		∑ Lines 9, 15, 24, 32		∑ Lines 9, 15, 24, 32		∑ Lines 9, 15, 24, 32
35	Escalator to Adjust to 2020 Rate Year	3.84%	((1 + Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 19)^2)	3.84%	((1 + Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 19)^2)	3.84%	((1 + Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 19)^2)
26		075 000		625.0.41		e10.045	
30	Total Adjusted Marginal Cost per MW	\$75,999	Line 34 x (1 + Line 35)	\$27,841	Line 34 x (1 + Line 35)	\$19,045	Line 34 x (1 + Line 35)

### Calculation of Loss-Adjusted Marginal Costs

Line	Description	Marginal Costs	Explanation
No.		-	
	Loss Factors		
2	Distribution - Primary		Company Data
3	Distribution - Secondary	6.90%	Company Data
4			
5	Marginal Costs to Serve - Transmission Level per kW		
6	Distribution - Primary	\$76.00	Att MFB-8 p1, Line 36 / 1000
7	Distribution - Secondary	\$27.84	Att MFB-8 p1, Line 36 / 1000
8	Distribution - Line Transformers	\$19.04	Att MFB-8 p1, Line 36 / 1000
9			_
10	Marginal Costs to Serve per kW: Distribution Primary Customer		
11	Distribution - Primary Component	\$78.89	(1 + Line 2) x Line 6
12	Total	\$78.89	
13			
14	Marginal Costs to Serve per kW: Distribution Secondary Customer		
15	Distribution - Primary Component	\$81.24	(1 + Line 3) x Line 6
16	Distribution - Secondary Component	\$29.76	(1 + Line 3) x Line 7
17	Distribution - Line Transformer Component	\$20.36	(1 + Line 3) x Line 8
18	Total	\$131.36	$\sum$ Lines 15 - 17

### Calculation of Marginal Capacity Costs by Rate Class (Peak Demand)

Line			Domestic-	General	General	General	Outdoor	Limited All	Ltd Comm	
No.	Description	Domestic	Opt. Peak	TOU	Long Hour	Service	Lighting	Electric	Space	Explanation
		D	D-10	G-1	G-2	G-3	M	T	V	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
1	Marginal Capacity Costs									
2	Marginal Costs to Serve per kW: Distribution Primary Customer									
3	Distribution - Primary Component	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89		\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	Att MFB-8 p2, Line 11
4	Distribution - Secondary Component	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-		\$-	\$ -	
5	Distribution - Line Transformer Component	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-		\$-	\$ -	
6	Total	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	N/A	\$ 78.89	\$ 78.89	$\sum$ Lines 3 - 5
	Marginal Costs to Serve per kW: Distribution Secondary Customer									
8	Distribution - Primary Component	\$ 81.24						\$ 81.24		Att MFB-8 p2, Line 15
9	Distribution - Secondary Component	\$ 29.76		\$ 29.76				\$ 29.76		Att MFB-8 p2, Line 16
10	Distribution - Line Transformer Component	\$ 20.36						\$ 20.36		Att MFB-8 p2, Line 17
11	Total	\$ 131.36	\$ 131.36	\$ 131.36	\$ 131.36	\$ 131.36	N/A	\$ 131.36	\$ 131.36	$\sum$ Lines 8 - 10
12	Voltage Weighting Analysis									
13	Non coincident peak (kW) served at:									
14	Primary Voltage	-	-	28,652	138	-	-	-	-	Company Data
15	Secondary Voltage	63,104	859	45,066	35,343	22,434	7	2,140	76	Company Data
16	Total	63,104	859	73,718	35,482	22,434	7	2,140	76	∑ Lines 14 - 15
	Percent of Non coincident peak (kW)									
18	Primary Voltage	0.00%	0.00%	38.87%	0.39%	0.00%	0.00%			Line 14 / Line 16
19	Secondary Voltage	100.00%	100.00%	61.13%	99.61%	100.00%	100.00%			Line 15 / Line 16
20	Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	$\sum$ Lines 18 - 19
21	Voltage Weighted Marginal Capacity Costs									
22	Marginal Costs to Serve per kW: Distribution Customer									
23	Distribution - Primary Component	\$ 81.24	\$ 81.24	\$ 80.33	\$ 81.23	\$ 81.24		\$ 81.24	\$ 81.24	Line 3 x Line 18 + Line 8 x Line 19
24	Distribution - Secondary Component	\$ 29.76	\$ 29.76	\$ 18.19	\$ 29.65	\$ 29.76		\$ 29.76	\$ 29.76	Line 4 x Line 18 + Line 9 x Line 19
25	Distribution - Line Transformer Component	\$ 20.36			\$ 20.28	\$ 20.36		\$ 20.36	\$ 20.36	Line 5 x Line 18 + Line 10 x Line 19
26	Total	\$ 131.36	\$ 131.36	\$ 110.97	\$ 131.16	\$ 131.36	N/A	\$ 131.36	\$ 131.36	$\sum$ Lines 23 - 25

II-447 061

### Summary of Marginal Customer Costs

			Domestic-	General	General	General	Outdoor	Limited All	Ltd Comm	
Line		Domestic	Opt. Peak	TOU	Long Hour	Service	Lighting	Electric	Space	
No.	Description	D	D-10	G-1	G-2	G-3	М	Т	V	Explanation
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
	Plant Investment									
1	Meters	\$ 105.00	\$ 360.20	\$ 1,605	\$ 900.80	\$ 630.20		\$ 195.20		Att MFB-2 p1, Line 8
2	General Plant Loading Factor	10.16%	10.16%	10.16%	10.16%	10.16%		10.16%	10.16%	Att MFB-6 p3, Line 22
3	Unit Costs and Loading Factor	\$ 115.66	\$ 396.78	\$ 1,768	\$ 992.28	\$ 694.20		\$ 215.02	\$ 319.67	Line 1 + (Line 1 x Line 2)
4	Fixed Charge Rate	13.15%	13.15%	13.15%	13.15%	13.15%		13.15%	13.15%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 6
5	Meter Carrying Costs	\$ 15.21	\$ 52.17	\$ 232.47	\$ 130.47	\$ 91.28		\$ 28.27	\$ 42.03	Line 3 x Line 4
6	Services	\$ 693.29	\$ 693.29	\$ 759.17	\$ 759.17	\$ 693.29		\$ 693.29	\$ 693.29	Att MFB-2 p1, Line 4
7	General Plant Loading Factor	10.16%	10.16%	10.16%	10.16%	10.16%		10.16%	10.16%	Att MFB-6 p3, Line 22
8	Unit Costs and Loading Factor	\$ 763.70	\$ 763.70	\$ 836.27	\$ 836.27	\$ 763.70		\$ 763.70	\$ 763.70	Line 6 + (Line 6 x Line 7)
9	Fixed Charge Rate	10.44%	10.44%	10.44%	10.44%	10.44%		10.44%	10.44%	Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 5
10	Services Carrying Costs	\$ 79.73	\$ 79.73	\$ 87.30	\$ 87.30	\$ 79.73		\$ 79.73	\$ 79.73	Line 8 x Line 9
11	Total Plant Carrying Costs	\$ 94.93	\$ 131.90	\$ 319.77	\$ 217.77	\$ 171.00		\$ 108.00	\$ 121.76	Line 5 + Line 10 + Line
12	A&G Exp Plant-Related Loading Factor	3.53%	3.53%	3.53%	3.53%	3.53%		3.53%	3.53%	Att MFB-6 p1, Line 30
										-
13	Annualized Cost	\$ 126.02	\$ 172.92	\$ 411.83	\$ 282.41	\$ 222.54	N/A	\$ 142.60	\$ 160.06	(Line 12) x (Line 3 + Line 8 + Line ) + Line 11
14	Operating Expenses									
15	Plant Related O&M \$/Customer	\$ 118.17	\$ 155.95	\$ 349.96		\$ 195.91		\$ 131.52	\$ 145.58	Att MFB-5 p2, Col (G)
16	Customer Acctg & Mkg Expenses	\$ 109.64	\$ 109.64	\$ 219.28	\$ 164.46	\$ 109.64		\$ 109.64	\$ 109.64	Att MFB-5 p4, Col (F)
17	A&G Exp Non-Plant Loading Factor	3.73%	3.73%	3.73%	3.73%	3.73%		3.73%	3.73%	Att MFB-6 p1, Line 28
18	Total O&M Expense	\$ 236.30	\$ 275.48	\$ 590.45	\$ 425.46	\$ 316.94	N/A	\$ 250.15	\$ 264.73	Line 15 + Line 16 + ((Line 15 + Line 16) x Line
										17)
19	Working Capital- \$/Customer									
	Materials & Supplies + Prepayments Rate	2.07%	2.07%			2.07%		2.07%		Att MFB-6 p2, Line 22
21	M&S Cost	\$ 18.23	\$ 24.06	\$ 53.99	\$ 37.91	\$ 30.22		\$ 20.29		Line $20 \times (\text{Line } 3 + \text{Line } 8 + \text{Line })$
22	Working Cash O&M Allowance	\$ 16.52	\$ 19.26	\$ 41.27	\$ 29.74	\$ 22.15		\$ 17.49	\$ 18.50	(Line 18) x (Att MFB-8 p1, Line 30)
23	Total Working Capital	\$ 34.75	\$ 43.31	\$ 95.26	\$ 67.65	\$ 52.38		\$ 37.78	\$ 40.96	Line 21 + Line 22
24	Working Capital Rev. Requirement	\$ 3.55	\$ 4.43	\$ 9.74	\$ 6.92	\$ 5.36	N/A	\$ 3.86	\$ 4.19	Line 23 x (Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 16)
25	Annual Customer Related Cost \$/Customer	\$ 365.87	\$ 452.83	\$ 1,012.02	\$ 714.80	\$ 544.83	N/A	\$ 396.61	\$ 428.98	Line 13 + Line 18 + Line 24
26	Escalator to Adjust to 2020 Rate Year	3.84%	3.84%	3.84%	3.84%	3.84%		3.84%	3.84%	((1 + Att MFB-7 p1, Col (C), Line 19)^2) - 1
	-									
27	Annual Customer Related Cost	\$379.90	\$470.20	\$1,050.85	\$742.22	\$565.74	N/A	\$411.82	\$445.43	Line 25 x (1 + Line 26)

#### Summary of Marginal Cost Estimates

			Domestic-Opt.		General Long		Outdoor	Limited All	Ltd Comm		
Line		Domestic	Peak	General TOU	Hour	General Service	Lighting	Electric	Space	Total	
No.	Description	Domestic	D-10	G-1	G-2	G-3	M	T	V	Company	Explanation
140.	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)
1	Uncollectible Factor	1.15%	1.03%	0.00%	0.21%	0.24%		0.14%	0.39%	(3)	Att MFB-5 p5, Col (F)
1	Sheoneensie Factor	1.1570	1.0570	0.0070	0.2170	0.2470		0.1470	0.5770		Au MI B-5 p5, Col (1)
2	Customer Charge \$'s per month										
3	Monthly Customer Charge w/o	\$ 31.66	\$ 39.18	\$ 87.57	\$ 61.85	\$ 47.14		\$ 34.32	\$ 37.12		(Att MFB-9 p1, Line 27)/12
5	Uncollectibles	\$ 51.00	\$ 39.18	\$ 67.57	5 01.65	5 47.14		\$ 54.52	\$ 37.12		(Au MI'B-9 p1, Line 27)/12
4	Adjustment for Uncollectibles	0.36	0.40	0.00	0.13	0.11		0.05	0.15		Line 1 x Line 3
5	Monthly Customer Charge Incl. Uncollectibles		\$ 39.59	\$87.57	\$61.98	\$47.26		\$34.37	\$ 37.27		Line 3 + Line 4
5	stonally customer charge men chechectores	\$ 52.02	φ 55.55	001101	\$0100	017120		<i>\$51157</i>	0 0/12/		
6	Demand Charge \$'s per kW										
7	Distribution Demand Charge - Primary	81.24	81.24	80.33	81.23	81.24		81.24	81.24		Att MFB-8 p3, Line 23
,	Component	01.21	01121	00.55	01125	01.21		01121	01.21		1 m nii 5 o po, 2110 20
8	Distribution Demand Charge - Secondary	29.76	29.76	18.19	29.65	29.76		29.76	29.76		Att MFB-8 p3, Line 24
	Component										
9	Distribution Demand Charge - Line	20.36	20.36	12.45	20.28	20.36		20.36	20.36		Att MFB-8 p3, Line 25
	Transformer Component										1.7
10	Adjustment for Uncollectibles	\$1.51	\$1.35	\$0.00	\$0.27	\$0.31		\$0.18	\$0.52		(Line 7 + Line 8 + Line 9) x Line 1
11	Demand Charge Incl. Uncollectibles	\$132.88	\$132.72	\$110.97	\$131.43	\$131.68		\$131.54	\$131.88		$\Sigma$ line 7 to Line 10
	8			• • • •							
12	Billing Determinants (2018)										
13	Customers	35,382	440	138	907	5,670	0	964	18	43,518	Company Data
14	Non coincident peak (kW)	63,104	859	73,718	35,482	22,434	7	2,140	76	197,820	Company Data
15	Annual Energy Usage (kWh)	278,824,882	5,629,249	379,184,992	147,993,116	88,095,304	4,156,597	15,352,073	328,389	919,564,602	Company Data
											* •
16	Total Marginal Costs										
17	Total Customer-related	\$13,596,389	\$208,913	\$145,227	\$674,432	\$3,215,323		\$397,451	\$7,867	\$18,245,601	Line 5 x Line 13 x 12
18	Total Capacity-related: Demand										
19	Demand Distribution Primary	5,185,761	70,511	5,921,600	2,888,282	1,826,941		174,090	6,170		(1 + Line 1) x Line 7 x Line 14
20	Demand Distribution Secondary	1,899,702	25,830	1,341,253	1,054,059	669,264		63,774	2,260		(1 + Line 1) x Line 8 x Line 14
21	Demand Distribution Line Transformer	1,299,512	17,670	917,499	721,041	457,818		43,626	1,546		(1 + Line 1) x Line 9 x Line 14
22	Total Capacity-related: Demand	\$ 8,384,975	\$ 114,011	\$ 8,180,353	\$ 4,663,381	\$ 2,954,023		\$ 281,490	\$ 9,976	\$ 24,588,209	$\Sigma$ line 19 to Line 21
					· · · · ·						
23	Customer Subtotal	\$13,596,389	\$208,913	\$145,227	\$674,432	\$3,215,323		\$397,451	\$7,867	\$18,245,601	
24	Distribution Subtotal	8,384,975	114,011	8,180,353	4,663,381	2,954,023		281,490	9,976		Line 19 + Line 20 + Line 21 + Line 24 + Line 25 + Line 26
25	Marginal Cost for Fixtures			A			609,009	A (80.0.1)		609,009	Att MFB-3 p1, 2,3, Line 20 + Att MFB-3 p4,5, Line 20
26	Total Annual Marginal Cost Excl.	\$ 21,981,365	\$ 322,924	\$ 8,325,580	\$ 5,337,813	\$ 6,169,345	\$ -	\$ 678,940	\$ 17,843	\$ 42,833,810	$\Sigma$ line 23 to Line 24
	Fixtures	<b>51</b> 56/	A 994	10 10	10 -00	1.4 - 0.4	0.007	1	0.007	100 000	0.1/1/0.1/0
27	Share of Total Annual Marginal Cost	51.3%	0.8%	19.4%	12.5%	14.4%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	100.00%	Col (n) / Col (J)
28	Excl. Fixtures Total Annual Marginal Cost Incl.	e 01.001.075	e 200.001	e e 225 con	6 5 2 2 7 0 1 2	e (1(0,245	£ (00.000	¢ (70.040	6 17.643	\$ 43,442,819	$\Sigma$ line 23 to Line 25
28	8	\$ 21,981,365	\$ 322,924	\$ 8,325,580	\$ 5,337,813	\$ 6,169,345	\$ 609,009	\$ 678,940	\$ 17,843	\$ 43,442,819	2 line 25 to Line 25
	Fixtures										
28	Share of Total Annual Marginal Cost	50.60%	0.74%	19.16%	12.29%	14.20%	1.40%	1.56%	0.04%	100.0%	Col (n) / Col (J)
	Incl. Fixtures										

Docket No. 19-064 Exhibit 13 Docket No. DE 19-064 Attachment MFB-11 Page 1 of 5

# Melissa F. Bartos Assistant Vice President

Ms. Bartos is a financial and economic consultant with more than twenty years of experience in the energy industry. In the last several years, she has focused on natural gas markets issues, including conducting comprehensive market assessments for various clients considering infrastructure investments and developing detailed demand forecasts for a number of gas distribution companies. Ms. Bartos has also designed, built, and enhanced numerous financial and statistical models to support clients in asset-based transactions, energy contract negotiations, reliability studies, asset and business valuations, rate and regulatory matters, cost-of-service analysis, and risk management. Her modeling experience includes building Monte-Carlo simulation models, designing an allocated cost-of-service model, statistical modeling using SPSS, and programming using Visual Basic for Applications (VBA). Ms. Bartos has also provided expert testimony regarding natural gas demand forecasting and supply planning issues, natural gas markets, and marginal cost studies.

# **REPRESENTATIVE PROJECT EXPERIENCE**

# Natural Gas Market Assessments

- Reviewed and evaluated long-term natural gas supply and demand, existing natural gas pricing dynamics, and future implications associated with new natural gas infrastructure in New England, New York, and New Jersey.
- Provided an analysis of the existing Gulf Coast natural gas market, the client's natural gas pipeline competitors, changing flows, and how those factors may affect transportation values to the client going forward.
- Prepared a comprehensive study examining the costs associated with improving natural gas pipeline access from western Canada and the eastern U.S. to Atlantic Canada.
- Produced a report on the benefits associated with incremental natural gas supplies delivered to New York City.
- Prepared an independent natural gas supply and pipeline transportation route assessment associated with natural gas for the client's proposed LNG export terminal.

# **Natural Gas Expansion**

• Conducted a study that examined potential commercial and industrial conversions from oilbased fuels to natural gas in various east coast U.S. markets.

- Produced a report that identified growth potential in off-system stationary and mobile markets in the mid-west that could be served by compressed natural gas or liquefied natural gas.
- Performed an external audit and filed expert testimony associated with two natural gas utilities' hurdle rate/contribution in aid of construction calculations for new off main customers.
- Produced a report that identified and reviewed innovative cost model approaches that utilities and regulators are using across the U.S. that allow expansion of gas distributions systems to new communities.
- Assisted in developing a strategy to identify residential natural gas growth opportunities within the client's franchise area.
- Presented at two Northeast Gas Association conferences regarding "Regulatory Policy and Residential Main Extensions".

# **Demand Forecasting**

- Filed expert testimony regarding the development of demand forecast models and the evaluation of natural gas resource plans for multiple northeast gas utilities.
- Provided litigation support regarding demand forecasting techniques with respect to certain natural gas pipeline and storage decisions for a mid-west gas utility.
- Reviewed demand forecasting practices and procedures and recommended certain changes to improve the methodology and accuracy of the forecast for a multi-state utility.
- For a mid-west gas utility, developed a natural gas demand forecast that was utilized for supply and capacity decisions.

# **Ratemaking and Utility Regulation**

- Participated in the rate case of a large North American gas distribution company, which determined the client's five-year incentive regulation plan, including performing benchmarking and productivity analyses that were filed with the regulator.
- Developed a marginal cost study, including data collection, analysis and testimony development, in support of rate case filings for a number of New England utilities.
- Provided comprehensive analysis, drafted testimony and provided litigation support regarding the appropriate return on equity for a New England water utility, and for proposed wind and coal electric generation facility additions for a mid-west combination utility.
- Performed a detailed analysis of the components included in the client's lost and unaccounted for gas calculation.
- Conducted multiple natural gas portfolio asset optimization analyses to evaluate performance of the client's asset manager for regulatory purposes.

- On behalf of multiple New England gas companies, participated in the 2009 Avoided Energy Supply Cost Study Group (for New England), which worked with third-party consultants to develop the marginal energy supply costs that will be avoided due to reductions in the use of electricity, natural gas, and other fuels resulting from energy efficiency programs.
- Conducted a study to determine the cost of significantly reducing peak day natural gas demand for a northeast gas utility through energy efficiency, conservation and demand management measures. Project involved researching natural gas energy efficiency plans in multiple U.S. states and Canadian provinces, reviewing energy efficiency potential studies, and exploring geothermal, peak pricing and direct load control options.

### **PROFESSIONAL HISTORY**

**Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. (2002 – Present)** Assistant Vice President Project Manager Senior Consultant

Navigant Consulting, Inc. (1996 - 2002)

Senior Consultant

# **PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS**

Member of the American Statistical Association

Member of the Northeast Energy and Commerce Association

Member of the Northeast Gas Association

# **EDUCATION**

M.S., Mathematics (Statistics), University of Massachusetts at Lowell, 2003

B.A., Mathematics and Psychology, Computer Science minor, College of the Holy Cross, magna cum laude, 1998

SPONSOR DATE		CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT		
<b>Connecticut Public Utilities Regula</b>	atory Aut	hority				
Connecticut Natural Gas2014Corporation & Southern2014Connecticut Gas Company2014		Connecticut Natural Gas Corporation & Southern Connecticut Gas Company	Docket No. 13-06-02	CIAC Hurdle Rate Calculation		
Federal Energy Regulatory Comm	ission	_	_	_		
PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC	2015	PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC	Docket No. CP15-558	Market Conditions/Need		
PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC	2016	PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC	Docket No. CP15-558	Market Conditions/Need		
Millennium Pipeline Company, LLC	2017	Millennium Pipeline Company, LLC	Docket No. CP16-486	Market Conditions/Need		
Laclede Gas Company	2017	Spire STL Pipeline, LLC	Docket No. CP17-40	Market Conditions/Need		
Maine Public Utilities Commission	1					
Northern Utilities, Inc.	2011	Northern Utilities	Docket No. 2011-526	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast		
<b>Massachusetts Department of Pub</b>	lic Utiliti	es	1	1		
New England Gas Company	ew England Gas Company 2008 New England Gas Company		D.P.U. 08-11	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast; Supply Planning		
New England Gas Company	2010	New England Gas Company	D.P.U. 10-61	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast; Supply Planning		
Berkshire Gas Company	2010	Berkshire Gas Company	D.P.U. 10-100	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast		
New England Gas Company	2012	New England Gas Company	D.P.U. 12-41	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast; Supply Planning		
Berkshire Gas Company	2012	Berkshire Gas Company	D.P.U. 12-62	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast		
NSTAR Gas Company	2014	NSTAR Gas Company	D.P.U. 14-63	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast		
Berkshire Gas Company	2014	Berkshire Gas Company	D.P.U. 14-98	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast		
Liberty Utilities (New England Gas Company)	2015	Liberty Utilities (New England Gas Company)	D.P.U. 15-75	Marginal Cost of Service Study		
Berkshire Gas Company	2016	Berkshire Gas Company	D.P.U. 16-103	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast		

SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Eversource Energy	2017	Eversource Energy (NSTAR Electric and WMECO)	D.P.U. 17-05	Marginal Cost of Service Study
National Grid (Boston Gas Company and Colonial Gas Company)	2017	National Grid (Boston Gas Company and Colonial Gas Company)	D.P.U. 17-170	Marginal Cost of Service Study
Bay State Gas Company d/b/a/ Columbia Gas of Massachusetts	2018	Bay State Gas Company d/b/a/ Columbia Gas of Massachusetts	D.P.U. 18-45	Marginal Cost of Service Study
Berkshire Gas Company	2018	Berkshire Gas Company	D.P.U. 18-40	Marginal Cost of Service Study
Berkshire Gas Company	2018	Berkshire Gas Company	D.P.U. 18-107	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast
New Hampshire Public Utilities Co	ommissio	n		
Northern Utilities, Inc.	2011	Northern Utilities	DG 2011-290	Integrated Resource Plan; Demand Forecast
berty Utilities (EnergyNorth atural Gas) 2017 Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas)		DG 17-048	Marginal Cost of Service Study	
New Jersey Board of Public Utilitie	es			
South Jersey Gas Company	2015	South Jersey Gas Company	GR15010090	Energy Efficiency Cost Benefit Analysis
Ontario Energy Board				
Enbridge Gas Distribution	2012	Enbridge Gas Distribution	EB-2011-0354	Industry Benchmarking Study
Enbridge Gas Distribution 2013		Enbridge Gas Distribution	EB-2012-0459	Incentive Rate Making
Régie de l'énergie du Québec				
TransCanada Pipelines Ltd. 2014		TransCanada Pipelines Ltd.	R-3900-2014	Natural Gas Market Assessment
Washington Utilities and Transpo	rtation C	ommission		
Puget Sound Energy, Inc.	2015	Puget Sound Energy, Inc.	UG-151663	Distributed LNG Market Assessment